



# The Finnish ICT Services Market Opportunity

**Information and Communication Technology (ICT)**

November 2025

## **General Description**

Finnpartnership is a business partnership programme financed by the Ministry for Foreign Affairs of Finland and managed by Finnfund. Finnpartnership aims to generate positive development impacts by promoting business between Finland and the developing countries.

The goal of this market report is to provide information for stakeholders in developing countries about the Finnish Information and Communication Technology (ICT) sector, aiming to facilitate their access to projects involving Finnish stakeholders and organisations.

This market report was prepared by Petri Aukia from Kamoon Digital Oy in November 2025. The provided contact details may change over time, but Finnpartnership bears no responsibility for such changes. The organisations and contacts referred to in the report are compiled to the best of our knowledge at the time, and Finnpartnership assumes no responsibility for the accuracy of the information contained in the report or its suitability for any purpose. Please note that the report is not intended for advertising purposes.

## Contents

<b>General Description .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1 The Finnish ICT Services Market Opportunity .....</b>	<b>5</b>
1.1 Market Fundamentals .....	5
1.2 Key Opportunity Drivers .....	5
1.3 Success Factors for Market Entry.....	6
1.4 Market Segmentation.....	7
1.6 Recommended Entry Strategies .....	8
1.7 Investment and Returns .....	9
1.8 Looking Forward .....	9
1.9 Typical Timeline of Market Entry.....	9
<b>2 Historical Development of the Finnish ICT Sector.....</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1 Key Milestones and Structural Shifts.....	11
2.2 Impact of Digitalization Waves on Service Demand .....	12
<b>3 Current Market Size and Import Trends .....</b>	<b>14</b>
3.1 Software Services Import Volumes and Growth Patterns.....	14
3.2 Key Source Countries and Their Market Positions .....	14
3.3 Finnish ICT Industry Landscape: Consulting firms, Product Companies, and End-user organizations.....	15
<b>4 Finnish Business Environment.....</b>	<b>16</b>
4.1 Company Size and Procurement Patterns .....	16
4.2 Negotiation Styles: Partnership-oriented vs. Cost-focused Procurement .....	17
4.3 Trust-building Timeline and Sales Velocity in the Finnish Market.....	17
4.4 Language and Communication Expectations.....	18

<b>4.5</b>	<b>Time Zone and Working Culture Considerations.....</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>4.7</b>	<b>Quality Standards and Delivery Expectations.....</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>4.8</b>	<b>Legal, contracting, and payment practices.....</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Market Segmentation.....</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>5.1</b>	<b>Segmentation by Technical Specialization – Where Finnish Companies Face Talent Shortages and Need External Capacity .....</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>5.2</b>	<b>Specialized vs. Commoditized Services .....</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>5.2</b>	<b>Segmentation by Digital Maturity .....</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>5.3</b>	<b>Segmentation by Service Delivery Model .....</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Market Demand Drivers — Why Finnish Companies Seek External ICT Partners .....</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>6.1</b>	<b>Capacity Constraints and Talent Shortages.....</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>6.2</b>	<b>Cost Optimization and Efficiency Pressures.....</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>6.3</b>	<b>Specialized Technical Expertise Requirements.....</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>6.4</b>	<b>Regulatory Compliance and Deadline-Driven Demand .....</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>6.5</b>	<b>Innovation and Competitive Differentiation Needs.....</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Collaboration Models Between Finnish and Developing Country ICT Companies .....</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>7.1</b>	<b>The Challenge of Market Noise and Oversupply in Generic Services...</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>7.2</b>	<b>Staff Augmentation and Team Extension Models .....</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>7.3</b>	<b>Joint Delivery Partnerships and White-label arrangements.....</b>	<b>33</b>
<b>7.4</b>	<b>Co-development and IP-sharing Models.....</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>7.5</b>	<b>Hybrid Models: Finnish customer interface + offshore delivery.....</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>7.6</b>	<b>Reseller and Technology Transfer Arrangements .....</b>	<b>34</b>
<b>8</b>	<b>Provider Playbook: Positioning, Market Entry, and Execution .....</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>8.1</b>	<b>Positioning by Buyer Need: Four Strategic Archetypes .....</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>8.2</b>	<b>Market Entry Paths: Three Primary Routes.....</b>	<b>35</b>
<b>8.3</b>	<b>Building Credibility – Operational Excellence Factors: Foundation for Sustained Success.....</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>8.4</b>	<b>Practical Actions and Common Mistakes .....</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>8.5</b>	<b>Customer Retention and Expansion Strategies .....</b>	<b>39</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Market Landscape: Sector-Specific Market Opportunities.....</b>	<b>40</b>

<b>9.1</b>	<b>Offshore Providers: tiers, positioning, and what wins .....</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>9.2</b>	<b>Private Sector Opportunities .....</b>	<b>40</b>
<b>9.5</b>	<b>Public Sector Opportunities and Barriers .....</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>9.6</b>	<b>Startup Ecosystem Collaboration Potential .....</b>	<b>41</b>
<b>9.7</b>	<b>Vertical Specialization Advantages.....</b>	<b>42</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>Future Trends and Opportunities (2025–2030).....</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>10.1</b>	<b>AI and Automation Driving New Service Needs .....</b>	<b>43</b>
<b>10.2</b>	<b>Shifts in Global Sourcing Strategies post-2020s.....</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>10.3</b>	<b>Sustainability and ethical sourcing considerations .....</b>	<b>45</b>
<b>11</b>	<b>Recommendations and Action Plan .....</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>11.1</b>	<b>Recommendation 1: Quick Wins — The Subcontractor Entry Path.....</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>11.2</b>	<b>Recommendation 2: Specialized Domain Expertise .....</b>	<b>46</b>
<b>11.3</b>	<b>Recommendation 3: Pure Offshore Model — Technical Excellence Without Local Presence.....</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>11.4</b>	<b>Recommendation 4: Long-Game Strategy — Building Sustainable Market Position.....</b>	<b>47</b>
<b>12</b>	<b>Conclusion: Choosing Your Path .....</b>	<b>47</b>
	<b>Notes and Sources.....</b>	<b>48</b>

## 1 The Finnish ICT Services Market Opportunity

Finland presents compelling opportunities for developing country ICT service providers, driven by structural talent shortages despite employing 137,000 ICT professionals. The market's €9 billion services trade deficit reflects sustained import demand: Finland exports €11.8 billion in high-value ICT services, but is also a major importer of ICT-services.

### 1.1 Market Fundamentals

Finland operates one of Europe's most digitally mature economies, with 68% of enterprises maintaining in-house ICT staff—the highest rate in the EU. This sophistication creates demand for specialized expertise rather than basic IT support.

The market imports approximately €42 billion in services annually (as of 2023), with ICT services representing a significant portion of this total. Despite a highly educated workforce, persistent shortages exist in many domains, including but not limited to cybersecurity, AI/ML engineering, cloud architecture, embedded systems, and domain-specific expertise.

### 1.2 Key Opportunity Drivers

The market opportunity stems from five converging demand drivers, prioritized by market penetration:

**Capacity constraints** affect many Finnish companies, which possess clear digital strategies but lack sufficient technical resources to execute them. The Software Finland Association conducted a survey in 2025<sup>44</sup> that shows the majority of companies plan to expand despite economic headwinds.

**Cost optimization needs** drive a large share of buyers to seek offshore partnerships that offer 30-70% cost advantages, while maintaining quality standards. This includes both private enterprises optimizing IT spending and public sector organizations.

**Specialized expertise gaps** in emerging technologies create premium opportunities. Finnish companies struggle particularly to find experts in AI/ML implementation, cloud-

native architectures, embedded systems, and industry-specific domains such as fintech and healthcare IT.

**Regulatory compliance requirements** create urgent demand as new, often EU-mandated regulations (DORA, NIS2, and EU AI Act) and their deadlines approach, though this represents one specialized domain among broader expertise needs.

**Innovation and R&D partnerships** offer the highest strategic value and longest time horizons (2-5 years), typically involving shared IP development and Business Finland co-funding opportunities.

### 1.3 Success Factors for Market Entry

Finnish buyers prioritize quality and reliability over cost, with only 59% reporting satisfaction with current IT sourcing relationships <sup>30</sup>. This is a demanding client base.

Successful entry requires:

#### 1. Specialization over generalization

Providers positioning around specific technical domains or industry verticals command premium pricing. Generic “software development” offerings face severe competition and margin pressure.

#### 2. Trust through demonstration

Finnish procurement follows evaluation cycles of 6 to 18 months based on the professional experience of the author of this report. Buyers typically require evidence through references, certifications (such as ISO 9001 and ISO 27001 or vendor specific certifications), and pilot projects before making significant commitments.

#### 3. Strategic partnerships

Subcontracting with established Finnish system integrators provides the fastest market entry (3-6 months to first revenue) while building references and learning market dynamics.

#### 4. Language requirements

A large share of technical work is conducted in English. Finnish fluency expands the addressable market, but it is not mandatory for initial entry. Target international companies and partner with Finnish-speaking account managers.

## 1.4 Market Segmentation

The Finnish ICT services market segments across multiple dimensions that determine competitive dynamics and pricing power.

**Technical specialization** creates a clear bifurcation. High-demand specializations – such as cybersecurity, AI/ML, cloud architecture, embedded systems, and vertical domain expertise – face persistent talent shortages and command premium rates. Oversupplied categories, including generic web development, mobile applications, and basic IT support, face intense competition and declining margins.

**Client size** directly impacts procurement processes. Large enterprises (revenue > €500M) conduct extensive due diligence with 6-12 month cycles but offer the highest engagement values. Mid-market companies (€50-500M) strike a balance between structure and agility, representing the "sweet spot" for many providers. SMEs (<€50M) move fastest but require high responsiveness and flexibility.

**Industry verticals** show distinct patterns. Financial services demand deep regulatory expertise (DORA, PSD2). Healthcare requires specialized interoperability knowledge (HL7 FHIR, Kanta integration). Manufacturing pursues Industry 4.0 initiatives (IoT, OT security, digital twins). The public sector follows framework-based procurement with extended timelines.

**Digital maturity** determines buyer needs. Early-stage organizations seek capacity supplementation with high budget sensitivity. Digitally mature companies need specialist depth for specific domains. Digital leaders pursue innovation partnerships with outcome-based compensation.

## 1.5 Competitive Landscape

The Finnish market features three competitive tiers with distinct positioning strategies.

**Indian offshore majors** (TCS, Infosys, Wipro, HCL) dominate large enterprise engagements through established relationships, operational scale, and mature delivery processes. They maintain local presence (Helsinki offices) and compete on a proven track record rather than price alone.

**Eastern European nearshore providers** (Poland, Romania, Baltics) have captured significant mid-market share through time-zone alignment, cultural proximity, and EU regulatory compliance advantages. However, their cost advantages are eroding – rates are



increasingly approaching Finnish levels – while capacity constraints limit the ability to absorb new demand. German and Scandinavian buyers are crowding these markets, creating supply pressure.

**Farshore providers** from Asia and Latin America compete primarily on cost differential (50-70% savings vs Finnish rates) but face challenges in time-zone management, cultural alignment, and EU regulatory compliance requirements (GDPR, NIS2, DORA).

**Success factors transcend location.** Winning providers demonstrate operational excellence through team stability (low attrition), process maturity (ISO certifications), multi-year Finnish references, and specialized domain expertise. Generic "software development" positioning fails regardless of origin – differentiation is required either through technical specialization (AI/ML, cybersecurity, cloud architecture) or vertical depth (fintech, healthcare, industrial IoT), where demand exceeds supply.

## 1.6 Recommended Entry Strategies

Four proven paths exist for market entry, each suited to different capabilities and risk profiles:

### 1. Subcontractor partnerships

Partner with Finnish integrators (Accenture, CGI, Tietoevry, Gofore, Siili, Reaktor, Futurice, Solita) to deliver under their brands either directly or through a specialized contractor agency. Lowest risk, fastest to revenue (3-6 months), learn Finnish market dynamics while building references.

### 2. Specialized expertise services

Leverage deep technical expertise (AI/ML, cloud architecture, cybersecurity, embedded systems) to address critical Finnish talent gaps. Commands premium pricing but requires certifications and a proven track record.

### 3. Industry vertical focus

Deep domain expertise in regulated sectors (financial services, healthcare, manufacturing) combines technical capability with industry knowledge, commanding a 20-40% premium over generalist rates.

#### 4. Long-term positioning

Systematic investment in certifications (ISO 9001, ISO 27001), Finnish references, and relationship building over 18-36 months. Highest sustainable margins through specialization rather than cost competition. Most successful entrants combine strategies—using subcontracting for initial market entry while building specialized expertise and direct client relationships for long-term positioning.

### 1.7 Investment and Returns

Market entry typically requires an investment of €50,000 to €200,000 over 18 to 24 months, depending on the chosen strategy. Successful providers achieve sustainable margins through **specialization rather than cost competition**.

Key performance indicators include achieving 3-5 Finnish references within 18 months, generating 40-50% of revenue from referrals by year 3, and expanding from pilot engagements to common initial engagements into multi-year partnerships.

### 1.8 Looking Forward

The 2025-2030 period will see continued evolution, driven by **AI adoption** that creates new service needs while reducing the advantage of low-cost labor, sustainability, and **ESG requirements** (Environmental, Social, and Governance) influencing procurement decisions, and continued nearshoring trends favoring European providers.

Success requires choosing a focused positioning strategy, investing in operational excellence, and building trust through consistent delivery rather than competing solely on cost. Finland's market rewards patient, specialized providers who respect cultural norms – but punishes overpromising and underdelivering swiftly and permanently.

### 1.9 Typical Timeline of Market Entry

Market entry requires patience and systematic execution.

- Months 0-6 → Relationship building, potential certification preparation
- Months 3-6 → First revenue if working as a subcontractor or 6-18 months, if directly engaging the end client without a local partner.
- Months 12-18 → 3-5 Finnish references established
- Months 18-36 → Sustainable market position, referral-driven growth

Finnish buyers prioritize demonstrated competence over promises. The relatively small IT community (approximately 137,000 professionals) means that reputation compounds quickly – both positively and negatively.

## 2 Historical Development of the Finnish ICT Sector

Finland's ICT sector evolved through three overlapping waves: early private-sector digital adoption (1990s–2000s), large-scale public digitalization programmes (2007–2020), and a structural pivot from hardware to software and services (2010s). The result: mature digital practices, substantial two-way trade in ICT services, and persistent capacity shortages in specialized domains.

### 2.1 Key Milestones and Structural Shifts

Finland digitalized early and continuously across both private and public sectors. In the private sector, banking led the way: by 1999, Finland had the highest number of credit transfers per capita in Europe. It was “arguably the most electronified retail payment system in the world” by 2000<sup>1</sup>.

By spring 2005, 76% of Finnish Internet users employed online banking<sup>3</sup>. Insurance moved online by the early 2000s, and by 2006, 29% of the population aged 15–74 had made an online purchase in the preceding three months<sup>4</sup>.

**Public-sector digitalization** accelerated from the late 2000s with three landmark programmes. The **Kanta** e-health services programme achieved nationwide e-Prescription coverage by 2017–2018<sup>5,6</sup>. The Tax Administration's **Valmis** modernization (2013–2020) overhauled core tax systems and launched the OmaVero portal<sup>8,9</sup>. The **Apotti** system, launched in November 2018, integrated Greater Helsinki's health and social care IT systems<sup>10</sup>.

At the same time, **Finland's private sector** was building strong digital capabilities.

Software and ICT firms such as Tietoevry, Vincit, Futurice, Reaktor, and cloud-native startups expanded rapidly, developing export-oriented services.

Software and ICT services exports scaled from €0.4 billion in 2004 to €11.8 billion in 2022, with the United States alone accounting for €4.5 billion, driven by global demand in sectors such as telecommunications, gaming, industrial automation, and financial technology<sup>12</sup>.

Finnish organisations now operate digital systems across most business functions, creating buyer expectations centred on production-grade delivery and regulatory compliance rather than exploratory digitalization.

## **2.2 Evolution from a Nokia-dominated Ecosystem to a Diversified Software Industry**

Nokia's mobile-device division collapsed between 2010 and 2014, resulting in the dispersal of tens of thousands of skilled professionals into startups, software firms, and ICT services providers<sup>14, 15</sup>.

Eurostat documented the structural shift: between 2012 and 2022, employment in ICT *manufacturing* followed a “clear downward trajectory,” while employment in ICT *services* showed “consistent and robust growth.”

Labour switching between industries drove ICT sector productivity from 2000 to 2018<sup>17, 18</sup>. The transformation was not uniform. Niche verticals emerged – most notably gaming, which reached €2.4 billion in turnover by 2020.

This diversification created a dual dynamic: Finland simultaneously exports high-value ICT services (€11.8 billion in 2022) and imports capacity to meet domestic demand in specialized domains where talent remains scarce.

Nokia's legacy – distributed teams, international product development, and an English-language work culture – continues to shape Finnish ICT firms. However, technical skills have shifted from telecommunications hardware to cloud computing, AI, and SaaS platforms.

## **2.2 Impact of Digitalization Waves on Service Demand**

Services imports roughly doubled from 2013 to 2023. Telecommunications, Computer and Information (TCI) services represent a significant category in both exports and imports, reflecting a structural two-way trade. The sustained growth reflects structural demand – high digitalization combined with limited domestic capacity in specialized domains – rather than cyclical fluctuations.

Mature digital operations, combined with imminent regulatory deadlines, create sustained demand for external capacity, particularly in domains that require specialized certifications (such as ISO 27001) and EU regulatory expertise.

These compliance requirements are not one-time projects but ongoing obligations—a pattern favouring long-term relationships over transactional engagements.

Year	Export Value <sup>25</sup>
2005	€405 million
2018	€7.3 billion
2022	€11.8 billion

*Historical context (1990s):* The Finnish telecom market was fully liberalized in 1994; major state IT service centers (e.g., VTKK) were privatized in the mid-1990s, forming companies like TietoEnator (now Tietoevry/Vivicta)<sup>36</sup>; Y2K projects accelerated public IT modernization.

### **3 Current Market Size and Import Trends**

Finland's ICT services market exhibits persistent import dependence driven by structural talent shortages. Services imports were €42 billion (2023), creating a €9 billion trade deficit. ICT services represent a major import category, though precise country breakdowns require direct database queries. Sustained import growth, documented client dissatisfaction, and constrained nearshore capacity create an opportunity for differentiated providers meeting Finnish quality expectations.

#### **3.1 Software Services Import Volumes and Growth Patterns**

Service imports are growing and expanded from to €42 billion (2023). Telecommunications, computer, and information (TCI) services constitute a major category driving both exports and imports. The 2023 growth rate was 6.2% and the ten-year CAGR approximates 5.9%. This reflects structural factors – high digitalization, capacity constraints, continuous technology adoption – not cyclical demand.

Growth has not been uniform. Imports declined 20% in Q4 2020, then rebounded with 20.4% growth in 2021. The longer-term pattern shows consistent momentum driven by advanced digitalization, talent shortages (cybersecurity, AI/ML, cloud), and regulatory obligations (DORA, NIS2, EU AI Act), expanding compliance workloads through 2027.

Client satisfaction reveals opportunity: only 59% of Finnish organizations report satisfaction with current IT sourcing relationships<sup>30</sup>. Over 40% of engagements fail to meet expectations<sup>37</sup>, creating opportunities for providers who demonstrate superior quality and reliability. The dissatisfaction data suggest Finnish buyers are open to alternatives they perceive as superior to their current providers.

#### **3.2 Key Source Countries and Their Market Positions**

Sweden, Germany, and the United States are the top general service importers, representing mature trading relationships across all categories. With general services we mean the full gamut of services including, but not limited to ICT services. For ICT services specifically, procurement patterns reveal three tiers: nearshore (Poland, Baltics, etc.), established farshore (India), and emerging farshore (Vietnam, others).

Nearshore (Poland, Baltics) historically offered time-zone alignment, cultural affinity, and EU harmonization with meaningful cost advantages. This made them attractive for customer-facing roles and real-time collaboration.

Two shifts now erode competitiveness, as costs converge toward Finnish rates as these economies mature, and capacity constraints limit absorption of incremental demand despite forecasted growth.

### **3.3 Finnish ICT Industry Landscape: Consulting firms, Product Companies, and End-user organizations**

Finland's ICT sector employed 137,000 people in 2023, growing 5% year-over-year. ICT companies employed 104,707 person-work years (+3.2%)<sup>32</sup>.

Software and consulting (NACE Rev. 2, division 62) account for 62% of employment (up 4% year-on-year); computer and electronic equipment manufacturing 22% (up 18%); telecommunications 11% (down 8%); and information services 6% (up 10%).

These patterns confirm the structural shift outlined in Chapter 2: hardware and telecom decline, while software, consulting, and information services drive growth.



## 4 Finnish Business Environment

This chapter examines the distinctive characteristics of doing business in Finland's ICT sector, including buyer behavior, cultural norms, operational expectations, and legal frameworks that differentiate this market from others.

### 4.1 Company Size and Procurement Patterns

**Large enterprises** with IT budgets exceeding €10 million pursue teams of 20-50 persons for multi-year transformation programs. These organizations conduct extensive due diligence, including reference checks, security audits, and financial stability assessments before engagement. Decision cycles run 6-12 months, involving multiple stakeholders across procurement, IT, security, and legal functions. They expect formal contracts with comprehensive SLAs and require professional indemnity insurance coverage of €1-5 million. These engagements offer the highest revenue potential but require operational maturity and patience due to extended sales cycles.

**Mid-market companies** with IT budgets of €2 million to €10 million represent a sweet spot for many providers. They engage teams of 10-20 people in 12-24 month initiatives, balancing structure with agility. Decision cycles run 3-6 months with moderate due diligence requirements. While they value certifications and processes, they move faster than enterprises and maintain more flexibility in contracting. These buyers offer substantial engagement sizes without the complexity overhead of enterprise procurement.

**SMEs** with IT budgets of €500,000-€2 million need teams of 1-5 persons for 6-18 month projects. Decisions are made within 3-6 months through simpler processes with fewer stakeholders. These buyers prioritize practical outcomes over certifications, value personal relationships, and expect high responsiveness. Contracting is less formal, but they demand flexibility and direct access to technical leadership. SMEs offer faster market entry and lower barriers, although individual engagements are more minor, and the risk of churn is higher.

## 4.2 Negotiation Styles: Partnership-oriented vs. Cost-focused Procurement

Finnish buyers exhibit two primary negotiation approaches that shape how providers should position their services and structure their commercial terms.

**Partnership-oriented buyers**, representing roughly half of the market, view providers as strategic extensions of their internal teams. These organizations accept premium pricing in exchange for reliability, proven expertise, and reduced risk. They invest in long-term relationships, prefer stable team compositions, and prioritize continuity over marginal cost savings. For providers, these buyers offer more predictable revenue streams and opportunities to deepen engagement over time.

**Cost-focused buyers**, accounting for slightly less than half of the market, prioritize price optimization above other factors. They regularly benchmark rates against alternatives, negotiate aggressively on pricing, and maintain transactional relationships with higher provider turnover. These engagements tend to be shorter, more clearly scoped, and evaluated primarily on cost efficiency. Providers must compete on price while managing tighter margins and higher sales costs.

**A significant hybrid segment employs** different approaches depending on the type of engagement. These buyers take a cost-focused stance for commodity work, such as staff augmentation or maintenance, while adopting a partnership-oriented approach for strategic initiatives involving specialized expertise or business-critical systems. Providers must recognize the mode in which the buyer is operating and adjust their strategy accordingly.

Across all segments, Finnish negotiation culture emphasizes **directness, factual discussion, and explicit communication of requirements and constraints**. Buyers expect clear answers to specific questions, appreciate transparency about limitations, and respond poorly to evasiveness or overselling. Building credibility through honest, precise communication matters more than relationship-building rituals in some other markets every day.

## 4.3 Trust-building Timeline and Sales Velocity in the Finnish Market

Finnish relationships require extended periods of trust-building before significant commitments, reflecting a cultural preference for demonstrated performance and the interconnected nature of the market. The typical progression spans 6 to 18 months, from initial contact to a substantial level of engagement.

The extended timeline can be accelerated through urgent compliance needs, subcontractor arrangements leveraging prime contractor credibility, strong Nordic references, or warm introductions from trusted sources. Providers must maintain patience and consistent engagement even during quiet evaluation periods.

#### **4.4 Language and Communication Expectations**

Finnish ICT conducts a large share of technical work in English (CEFR B2-C1). Finnish fluency expands the addressable market, particularly in the public sector, traditional industries, and legal/contractual work. Mitigation: target international companies, partner with Finnish-speaking sales reps, and hire bilingual account managers.

Finnish communication: low-context, direct style – clarity and brevity (fact-based, concise), literal interpretation (state commitments precisely), written confirmation (document agreements), silence as thinking (avoid filling pauses), direct feedback (straightforward without excessive softening).

Somewhat frustratingly many organisations go out of their way to contract Finnish speaking technical experts, even though the work itself is performed in English.

#### **4.5 Time Zone and Working Culture Considerations**

##### **Time zone alignment (Finland is UTC+2)**

Eastern European (near perfect, justifies 20-30% nearshore premium), India (3.5 hour offset, 4.5 hour overlap, manageable with structure), Southeast Asian (5-6 hour offset, 2-3 hour overlap, requires disciplined asynchronous patterns), Latin American (5-8 hour negative offset, difficult synchronous collaboration).

##### **Working culture**

Standard 8:00-16:00 or 9:00-17:00 (minimal overtime), July vacation (reduced availability, with some development teams shutting down completely), coffee breaks, flat hierarchy (egalitarian, accessible leadership), consensus-based decisions (stakeholder alignment lengthens cycles but ensures implementation support).

#### **4.7 Quality Standards and Delivery Expectations**

Finnish buyers expect provider processes to match or exceed their own. Requirements: code quality/review (all code reviewed, comprehensive test coverage, CI/CD), process maturity (sprint planning, definition of done, quality gates, post-mortems), certifications

(ISO 9001 baseline, ISO 27001 sensitive data, ISO 13485 healthcare, ISO 27701 GDPR, and vendor specific compliance).

Compliance needs are more modest, when subcontracting via a local agency, as the compliance needs may be met by the agency and not extend to their off-shore partners. Buyers in high-tech organizations may perform quality evaluations that alleviate the need for certifications.

Buyers prefer “good but consistent” over “excellent but churning.” Consistently delivering 8-10 story points per developer outperforms delivering erratic 15-20 story points, alternating with 3-5.

## **4.8 Legal, contracting, and payment practices**

Finnish contracts specify Finnish law/jurisdiction, which providers must accept. Maintain professional indemnity insurance (€1-5M); budget 1-4% of the contract value for legal review and consultation.

Common Payment terms include 14-30 days standard, 8% annual interest on late payments (Interest Act 633/2982), milestone-based for fixed-price projects, and a retainer for ongoing support. Use local law firms specialized in IT law to assist you in your contract negotiations.

## 5 Market Segmentation

Finland's ICT services market divides into distinct segments across six dimensions: technical specialization, specialized versus commoditized services, client size, industry vertical, digital maturity, and service delivery model. Understanding these segments enables providers to identify where their capabilities align with market structure and competitive dynamics.

### 5.1 Segmentation by Technical Specialization – Where Finnish Companies Face Talent Shortages and Need External Capacity

As described in Chapter 3, Finland's ICT workforce is large and growing, yet persistent shortages drive external capacity demand. The European Commission states, "ICT specialists remain in short supply and should be further attracted from abroad." Industry data confirm genuine capacity constraints: 56% of software companies planned hires (Spring 2025), up from 45% (Fall 2024), while only 12% planned reductions.

Shortages are concentrated in five high-value specializations, where buyers face urgency, accept premium pricing, and prioritize proven expertise over cost optimization.

**Table: High-Demand Specializations and Market Characteristics**

Specialization	Key Skills & Roles	Why Shortage Exists	Rate Premium	Proof Required
<b>Cybersecurity &amp; Operational Resilience</b>	Security architects, penetration testers, compliance specialists, SOC analysts, incident responders	Regulatory deadlines (DORA, NIS2), ongoing compliance requirements (risk assessments, monitoring, reporting)	30-100% above generalist rates	CISSP, OSCP, ISO 27001 experience, regulatory project references

<b>AI/ML Engineering</b>	ML engineers, data scientists, MLOps specialists, AI governance experts	Generative AI adoption, EU AI Act compliance, business deployment (predictive maintenance, fraud detection, diagnostics)	Nearshore-level rates	Published research, framework contributions (PyTorch, TensorFlow), domain models, production deployments
<b>Cloud Architecture</b>	Cloud architects, Kubernetes specialists, and infrastructure automation engineers	Technology evolution (Kubernetes, serverless), legacy migrations, platform depth gaps (AWS, Azure, GCP)	25-40% premium	Advanced cloud certifications, migration project references
<b>Embedded/ IoT</b>	Embedded developers (C/C++/Rust), RTOS specialists, ICS/SCADA security, safety-critical engineers	Industrial digitalization, safety-critical requirements (ISO 13485, ISO 26262, IEC 61508), OT/IT convergence	Premium over local generalist rates for niche expertise	Firmware portfolios, RTOS contributions, hardware-software co-design experience, industrial references
<b>Vertical Domain Expertise</b>	Fintech: Payment/banking/co compliance specialists Healthcare: HL7/FHIR/Kanta specialists Industrial: MES/IoT/OT specialists	Deep industry knowledge + technical skills combination, regulatory complexity (DORA, MDR, PSD2)	20-40% premium over generalist rates	Domain certifications (ISO 13485), sector-specific references, and regulatory project experience

## 5.2 Specialized vs. Commoditized Services

The market exhibits a clear split between specialized and commoditized service categories, each with different competitive characteristics and market structures.

### Specialized Services

These services require years to master and involve rare expertise: formal verification, advanced MLOps, healthcare interoperability (HL7 FHIR), embedded systems, and cloud-native architecture. The Finnish talent pool in these areas typically numbers fewer than 50-200 people at a production standard. LinkedIn searches for these specializations in the Nordic region may yield fewer than 500 qualified matches.

Key characteristics: consultative delivery model, outcome-based pricing, extended client relationships (24-36 months), and margins of 30-45%. Buyers in this segment pay at or above local generalist rates and may prefer fixed-price outcomes over time-and-materials.

### Commoditized Services

These services require a wide range of skills, including web/mobile frameworks, help desk support, manual testing, and routine database administration. Thousands of qualified professionals work locally, with hundreds of thousands available globally. Market structure features high competition, price-driven procurement, and frequent provider turnover.

Finnish buyers treat specialized and commoditized services in fundamentally different ways. The distinction determines entry difficulty, pricing power, and relationship duration – many vendors position specialist capabilities as commodities, eroding value and triggering price competition.

## 5.2 Segmentation by Digital Maturity

**Early-stage digital Organizations** build foundational IT capabilities and need capacity supplementation without long-term hiring commitments. Budget sensitivity is high – every investment must demonstrate clear value. These buyers engage in discrete, well-scoped projects. Off-shore is uncommon in this segment.

**Digitally Mature Organizations** have established digital operations but often lack expertise in specialized domains, such as advanced cloud architecture, cybersecurity, or regulatory compliance. They seek targeted specialist depth combined with cost efficiency, using external resources to augment internal capabilities.

**Digital Leaders** focus on competitive differentiation through emerging technologies. They invest in innovation projects, advanced analytics, and AI integration through strategic partnerships, joint IP development, and outcome-based compensation models.

Table: Market Segmentation Comparison

Characteristic	Specialized Services	Commoditized Services
<b>Skills</b>	Years to master: formal verification, advanced MLOps, healthcare interoperability (HL7 FHIR)	Abundant web/mobile frameworks, help desk, manual testing, and routine DBA work
<b>Talent Pool</b>	<50-200 people in Finland at production standard; <500 Nordic LinkedIn matches	Thousands available locally; hundreds of thousands globally
<b>Buyer Stakes</b>	High; failure brings penalties, breaches, revenue loss (DORA, NIS2, AI Act liability)	Low; standard functionality, easily replaceable resources
<b>Delivery Model</b>	Outcome-led, consultative; clients buy results (resilient architecture, compliant AI, reduced fraud)	Effort-based; hourly rates, time-and-materials, specification-driven
<b>Sales Cycle</b>	2-6 months; discovery, design collaboration, PoCs, multi-stakeholder review	4-8 weeks; RFP-driven, procurement-led decisions
<b>Pricing</b>	At or above local generalist rates; fixed-price outcomes may be preferred and seen a sign of process expertise	30%-70% below local generalist rates, price-weighted procurement typical
<b>Margins</b>	30-45%; largely insulated from the worst price competition after entry	15-25%; high churn, perpetual rate pressure
<b>Relationships</b>	24-36 months, possibly longer; referral based growth, strategic partnerships	12-24 months; transactional, frequent retendering
<b>Proof Required</b>	Publications, conference talks, GitHub contributions, certifications (CISSP, OSCP, CKA)	Resume screening, basic technical interviews
<b>Market Noise</b>	Low; specialists attract inbound through content and community	Extreme; 10-30 unsolicited approaches/week to procurement managers via all available channels



### 5.3 Segmentation by Service Delivery Model

**Staff Augmentation** supplies individual developers or teams working under client management on a time-and-materials basis. Engagements run 6-24 months, with potential for multi-year extensions, and are suited to clients with strong internal project management capabilities.

**Project-based delivery** involves providers assuming responsibility for the complete delivery of a project with a defined scope, timeline, and budget. This suits clients seeking turnkey solutions without dedicating internal project management resources.

**Managed Services** transfers ongoing responsibility for specific systems or functions (application support, infrastructure management, security operations). Contracts run 12-36+ months with SLA-based performance metrics, offloading operational burden while maintaining predictable costs.

**Hybrid Models** combine onshore customer-facing roles with offshore delivery teams, addressing both proximity needs and cost efficiency. Small EU-based teams handle sensitive interactions while larger offshore teams execute implementation work.

These segmentation dimensions overlap – for example, a large financial services enterprise might seek specialized cybersecurity expertise delivered through managed services. Understanding how segments intersect enables precise positioning.

## **6 Market Demand Drivers — Why Finnish Companies Seek External ICT Partners**

Finnish companies engage external ICT providers for five distinct reasons, each with different market sizes, urgency levels, and buyer behaviors. Understanding these drivers—specifically their prevalence, decision triggers, and budget availability—enables alignment with the active needs of buyers.

### **6.1 Capacity Constraints and Talent Shortages**

**Prevalence:** 60-70% of buyers

Capacity constraints represent the most common driver affecting 60-70% of Finnish companies based on author's experience. These organizations have clear digital strategies, but cannot staff projects to the desired timelines. Their ambition outstrips available internal resources.

#### **Market Evidence**

Despite a growing ICT workforce (see Chapter 3), capacity constraints remain across multiple specializations. Spring 2025 survey data from Software Finland shows 56% of companies plan to hire (up from 45% in Fall 2024), while only 12% plan reductions—clear evidence that recruitment trails demand<sup>44</sup>.

Hiring for Kubernetes specialists, ML/MLOps engineers, cloud security architects, and modern full-stack developers may take 4-9 months, with longer timelines possible outside Helsinki. Mid-sized firms (€100-500M in revenue) report project queues running 50-150% above capacity, which stretches product launches and delays time-to-market.

Temporary surges worsen the gap. A SaaS company raising Series B funding might need six months of work compressed into ten weeks. A bank facing DORA compliance might require 30-50 people for a year while having only 15 internal security staff. ERP projects typically exacerbate staffing issues as capacity is moved to these projects from inside the company and from known and trusted suppliers, where possible.

#### **Buyer Pain Points**

Organizations face stalled roadmaps when 18–36-month plans meet teams staffed at 40-50% of required capacity. Fixed-date compliance work (NIS2, DORA, AI Act) has immovable

deadlines, while hiring takes 6-9 months, forcing parallel approaches. Temporary capacity spikes (launches, M&A, compliance) require 2-3x normal capacity for 6-12 months – permanent hiring creates bench risk and costly downsizing.

Finnish labor protections make workforce reductions slow and expensive. Organizations value flexible arrangements that transfer utilization risk to providers in exchange for predictable fees and rapid scaling ability (a typical project could constitute 10-20 experts mobilized in 4-6 weeks with 30-60-day taper notice).

## **6.2 Cost Optimization and Efficiency Pressures**

**Prevalence: 50-60% of buyers**

Cost optimization affects 50-60% of buyers, rarely as the sole motive, but present in most capacity and expertise decisions. Public sector organizations face "value for money" mandates, boards pressure large firms on IT spending efficiency, and SMEs operate on tight budgets where every expense matters.

### **Market Context**

As outlined in Chapter 3, Finland imports €42bn of services annually, including a significant share of ICT. This creates rate arbitrage opportunities, with nearshore providers offering 30-40% cost advantages and offshore providers 50-70%. For a 20-person team over 12 months, this translates into highly material cost differences.

### **Buyer Pain Points**

Large programs frequently exceed budgets at domestic rates. A 15-30 person team over 18-36 months can save millions through offshore engagement – boards take note of these figures. Organizations with flat budgets but rising demand can increase throughput by 60-80% by shifting approximately 40% of their capacity offshore without incurring additional spending.

CFOs demand benchmarked savings. Effective positioning requires before/after models showing specific impacts: "40% capacity offshore yields €1.2M annual savings at maintained velocity." Nearshore providers (Poland, Baltics) may require farshore sub-contracting to be able to make these claims.

## High-Value Cost Optimization Opportunities

Maintenance and run operations suit managed services models – moving non-differentiating support offshore redeploys client teams to strategic work. Commodity capabilities (CRUD operations, API development, data migrations) compete on efficiency with visible quality gates.

Multi-year engagements compound savings – approximately € 30,000 per FTE annually yields € 600,000- € 1.8 million over 1-3 years, justifying investment in onboarding and governance structures.

### Buyer Profiles

**Large enterprises** target 10-20% IT savings, representing an impact of €5-10 M on spend exceeding € 50 M. They favor hybrid models, utilizing premium local resources for core systems and international teams for volume work.

**SMEs** make quick decisions on €50-150K fixed-price packages, valuing minimal client-side PM load over marginal rate differences.

**Public sector** buyers follow "best value" mandates with price weightings of 30-50%. Understanding Hansel frameworks and demonstrating governance enables successful positioning.

**Mature digital firms** blend premium services for core capabilities with efficient partners for commodity work, seeking 15-25% blended cost reduction while maintaining quality standards.

## 6.3 Specialized Technical Expertise Requirements

Prevalence: 30-40% of buyers

Specialized expertise needs affect 30-40% of buyers when internal teams cannot meet strategic, regulatory, or differentiation requirements within project timelines. This driver sustains higher margins and longer relationships than those driven by capacity or cost considerations.

### Market Context

Finland's ICT workforce excels in telecommunications, gaming, SaaS, and enterprise integration but shows gaps in post-2020 technology stacks: Kubernetes/cloud-native

architectures, MLOps, edge/IoT security, privacy-preserving computation, and WebAssembly.

Regulatory frameworks amplify demand. DORA (January 2025) addresses operational resilience, NIS2 (April 2025) covers cybersecurity for critical sectors, and the EU AI Act (phased through August 2027) mandates governance and auditable ML systems. In-house expertise remains thin in these specialized compliance domains.

Vertical complexity deepens expertise gaps. PSD2 compliance necessitates both secure API design expertise and in-depth knowledge of the banking domain. HL7 FHIR implementations require both technical capability and familiarity with Finland's Kanta system. Industrial IoT security requires combined OT/ICS and SCADA expertise, which is often lacking in IT teams.

### **Buyer Pain Points**

Organizations that adopt emerging technologies often reach capability ceilings. Manufacturing, retail, and logistics teams struggle to reach production-grade implementation within 3-6 months. External specialists design architectures, implement systems, and transfer knowledge to internal staff. Handing a delayed project over to a perceived expert consultancy is not uncommon.

High-risk projects require proven production track records. Financial migrations, medical software, and safety-critical embedded systems justify premium pricing because downside risk—regulatory penalties, system outages, safety incidents—dwarfs any cost savings from generalist alternatives.

## **6.4 Regulatory Compliance and Deadline-Driven Demand**

**Prevalence: 20-30% of buyers, peaking 2025-2027**

Regulatory compliance represents a significant but narrow opportunity affecting at most 20-30% of buyers. Current urgency stems from defined deadlines approaching in 2025-2027. This driver creates focused demand windows with fixed timelines.

### **Regulatory Framework Context**

DORA (Digital Operational Resilience Act) became mandatory on January 17, 2025, establishing comprehensive ICT risk management, incident reporting, and third-party oversight requirements for financial entities across the EU<sup>22</sup>. Implementation involves continuous obligations, including testing, monitoring, and audit requirements.

NIS2 (Network and Information Security Directive) came into force in Finland on April 8, 2025, expanding cybersecurity requirements to essential and vital entities across multiple sectors<sup>23</sup>.

The EU AI Act will be implemented progressively, with full implementation scheduled for August 2, 2027<sup>24</sup>. High-risk AI systems require risk management, data governance, technical documentation, human oversight, and conformity assessment to ensure their safe operation. General-purpose AI models had to comply by August 2, 2025.

### **Buyer Pain Points**

Non-negotiable deadlines can create liability when capacity gaps threaten to compromise project timelines. Work must proceed regardless of resource constraints—boards demand assurance that compliance will not fail due to staffing limitations.

Even strong security teams lack specialized depth for DORA, NIS2, and AI Act compliance. This expertise gap leaves organizations vulnerable to regulatory penalties and operational disruptions.

Domestic capacity faces severe constraints. So called “Big 4” firms and boutique consultancies are oversubscribed through 2027, leaving mid-market buyers struggling to secure engagement slots. International providers with relevant expertise face less competition.

Compliance represents ongoing run-state obligations, not one-time projects. Organizations need continuous monitoring, annual testing, regular audits, and ongoing improvement to maintain compliance status. This creates multi-year service opportunities that extend beyond the initial implementation.

### **Service Opportunities**

Risk assessment and gap analysis services identify compliance deficiencies and prioritize remediation activities. Implementation services establish required controls, processes, and documentation. Ongoing compliance management provides continuous monitoring, testing, and reporting.

## 6.5 Innovation and Competitive Differentiation Needs

**Prevalence:** 10-15% of buyers

Innovation and R&D collaboration affects 10-15% of buyers but offers the highest strategic value and longest time horizons (2-5 years typical) based on the analysis of the author. These partnerships involve shared risk, joint IP development or a strong IP handover in contracts and in practice, and peer collaboration rather than traditional vendor relationships.

### Business Context

Business Finland's R&D programs co-fund applied research (with public funding ranging from 35% to 70%) in all areas of software and hardware research and development, with some focus areas such as 6G, quantum computing, AI, and sustainable computing. Companies participate in university consortia (University of Oulu's 6G Flagship, VTT partnerships), sharing costs and risks of 5-10 year research programs or have direct grants or R&D loans from Business Finland.

External providers contribute specialized expertise, dedicate resources, and co-author publications and patents. Limited immediate revenue (may be limited to just €50K-€150K annually) builds deep relationships with potential for significant long-term value as research commercializes.

### Collaboration Models

Pre-competitive research partnerships involve multiple companies and research institutions collaborating on fundamental technologies before their commercial application. Providers gain access to leading-edge work while building relationships with industrial leaders.

Joint product development engagements create shared IP with aligned incentives through revenue sharing, licensing agreements, or joint ventures. These emerge when capability gaps combine with strategic urgency – faster than internal hiring, lower risk through shared investment.

Technology transfer and platform development relationships involve industrial companies (Wärtsilä, KONE, Valmet) seeking partners for proprietary platform work: digital twins, IoT frameworks, predictive maintenance systems. Engagements combine implementation with applied research and roadmap collaboration, embedding providers in product strategies.

## Requirements for Success

Innovation partnerships require research credentials, as demonstrated through publications, patents, or framework contributions. Finnish business presence enables access to Business Finland funding programs (some programmes/roles require a local legal entity). Long-term commitment tolerance accepts extended timelines before revenue generation.

Peer collaboration capability differs from traditional client-vendor relationships – these engagements expect technical discussions at equal depth levels, joint problem-solving, and shared ownership of outcomes.



## 7 Collaboration Models Between Finnish and Developing Country ICT Companies

After examining the challenge of market noise and oversupply, this chapter presents five collaboration models through which Finnish buyers engage developing country ICT providers, distinguished by control allocation, risk distribution, and relationship depth. Model selection depends on the buyer's sophistication, project complexity, and the provider's maturity. Understanding these options—and selecting the model aligned with provider capabilities and market entry objectives—determines access speed, risk exposure, and positioning potential.

### 7.1 The Challenge of Market Noise and Oversupply in Generic Services

As outlined in Chapter 5.2, the Finnish market for commoditised ICT services is crowded and price driven. From a developing-country provider's perspective, the core challenge is not lack of demand, but **lack of distinctiveness**: buyers perceive most “full-stack / cloud / mobile / agile” offerings as interchangeable.

Three dynamics follow from this:

1. **High scepticism toward new suppliers**

Finnish buyers have seen many similar pitches and are cautious about unproven partners, especially from abroad. Slide decks and generic claims carry little weight compared to concrete proof and trusted recommendations.

2. **“Evidence first” expectations**

Buyers want to see:

- References from comparable organisations (ideally in Finland or the Nordics)
- Technically detailed case examples, not marketing language
- Named experts with verifiable track records (talks, code, publications)

- A clear plan for governance, communication, and risk management
- 3. Without this evidence, providers **default into the low-price commodity bucket**.
- 4. **Limited room for generic positioning**

Providers leading with “we can do everything” are immediately benchmarked on hourly rate and flexibility. This undermines the specialised, higher-margin positioning described in Chapter 5.2 and makes it harder to escape price pressure later.

In this environment, collaboration models in the rest of Chapter 7 are essential primarily as **credibility-building mechanisms**: subcontracting, white-label delivery, or hybrid models can give access to local references and domain context. The goal is not simply to “get any work,” but to use early engagements to build the specific, verifiable proof required to stand out from the noise.

**The Long Game Requirement** → Finnish market entry isn't about winning quick deals through aggressive sales tactics. It requires a sustained commitment to building credibility through successful project delivery, client references, and market presence. Providers seeking rapid expansion without this foundation typically fail to gain traction or achieve sustainable margins.

This market dynamic means that success requires either entering with established differentiation or committing to a multi-year investment in building that differentiation through selective project work and deliberate capability development.

## 7.2 Staff Augmentation and Team Extension Models

Staff augmentation is widely used in Finnish ICT sourcing, with major firms competing for large contracts. The model provides temporary capacity without permanent hiring commitments, operating on a time-and-materials basis where providers supply developers working under client management. Typical engagements run 6-24 months with potential for multi-year extensions.

## 7.3 Joint Delivery Partnerships and White-label arrangements

White-label arrangements enable offshore providers to deliver under Nordic prime contractors' brands, offering valuable market entry for providers lacking Finnish

references. Established firms maintain client relationships and contractual accountability while offshore partners execute delivery. This model shifts delivery risk to the offshore provider while providing access to Finnish clients and market learning opportunities.

## **7.4 Co-development and IP-sharing Models**

R&D collaboration involving joint IP emerges through Business Finland projects and university consortia, requiring a Finnish business presence for access to funding. These partnerships differ from traditional delivery through shared risk, joint ownership of outcomes, and outcome-based compensation rather than time-based billing. Finnish companies engage such partners when internal capability gaps combine with strategic urgency.

## **7.5 Hybrid Models: Finnish customer interface + offshore delivery**

Hybrid models combine EU-based customer-facing roles with far-shore delivery teams, addressing both time-zone alignment needs and cost-efficiency objectives. A small EU-based team handles customer interactions and sensitive data, while larger offshore teams execute the implementation. This model resolves the tension between nearshore proximity preferences and farshore cost advantages.

## **7.6 Reseller and Technology Transfer Arrangements**

Technology reseller and transfer models remain niche but relevant for productized solutions and specialized IP. Finnish partners provide market access, localization, and customer support while offshore providers maintain core development. These product-centric arrangements involve licensing agreements, value-added reselling, or technology transfer, accompanied by ongoing royalties.

## **8 Provider Playbook: Positioning, Market Entry, and Execution**

This chapter synthesizes demand drivers (Chapter 6) and collaboration models (Chapter 7) into actionable guidance for developing country ICT providers. It addresses positioning in relation to buyer needs, market entry routes, and operational capabilities for sustained success.

### **8.1 Positioning by Buyer Need: Four Strategic Archetypes**

Market positioning determines which buyers view your company as relevant, its pricing power, and the expansion of engagement. Successful entrants align capabilities with specific buyer need patterns rather than generic “software development” positioning.

#### **Archetype 1: The Reliable Scaling Partner**

Targets the majority (60-70%) of buyers experiencing capacity constraints. Focuses on operational reliability and rapid scaling ability. Success requires demonstrating team stability, process maturity, and the ability to quickly mobilize qualified developers.

#### **Archetype 2: The Value Optimizer**

Addresses cost-conscious buyers (50-60%), including the public sector and large enterprises. Positions for delivering equivalent quality at a significant cost reduction through operational maturity rather than corner-cutting. Requires transparent pricing and strong quality assurance processes.

#### **Archetype 3: The Specialized Expert**

Serves buyers (30-40%) seeking specialized technical expertise in regulated sectors or emerging technologies. Commands premium pricing through demonstrable expertise in narrow domains. Requires objective evidence through certifications, publications, and a proven track record.

#### **Archetype 4: The Strategic Innovator**

Partners with organizations (10-15%) pursuing R&D and innovation. Requires research credentials and Finnish business presence for funding access. Offers the highest strategic value but the longest time horizons.

### **8.2 Market Entry Paths: Three Primary Routes**

#### **Route 1: Subcontractor Entry**

Partner with Finnish system integrators as subcontractor for fastest market entry (3-6

months to contracts) and lowest risk. Prime contractors handle sales and client management while providers focus on delivery. Enables learning Finnish market dynamics while building references.

#### **Route 2: Specialized Expertise Entry**

Leverage deep technical or domain expertise to address critical Finnish talent gaps. Organizations face urgent needs in AI/ML, cloud architecture, cybersecurity, and industry-specific domains. Regulatory compliance (DORA, NIS2, AI Act) represents one area among many where specialized knowledge commands premium pricing.

#### **Route 3: Pure Offshore Model**

Establish a market presence through technical excellence and digital reputation, even without a physical presence in Finland. Requires hyper-specialization in narrow domains with global scarcity. Success depends on consistent content creation and community engagement over a period of 12 to 18 months.

### **8.3 Building Credibility – Operational Excellence Factors: Foundation for Sustained Success**

Credibility rests on three pillars: recognised certifications (ISO 9001 and ISO 27001 as a baseline; sector-specific badges such as ISO 13485 or TISAX, where relevant, vendor specific common in many specialities), concrete references that demonstrate multi-year outcomes, and public evidence (talks, code, articles) that prove capability beyond claims.

#### **Six Core Operational Capabilities**

Finnish buyers evaluate providers on **six core capabilities** that determine whether pilot projects expand into multi-year partnerships.

These are:

##### **1. Process Maturity and Quality Assurance**

Process maturity and quality assurance form the technical foundation. Buyers expect to see demonstrated competence through code review practices, automated testing pipelines, and relevant certifications, such as ISO 9001 and ISO 27001. These signals support systematic quality management rather than ad-hoc practices.

##### **2. Communication and Collaboration**

Communication and collaboration capabilities prove critical in distributed engagements. Effective time-zone management, fluent English proficiency, and cultural alignment with

Finnish direct communication norms are key factors that separate successful partnerships from problematic ones. Misalignment here derails otherwise technically sound projects.

### 3. Team Stability and Retention

Team stability and retention have a direct impact on project continuity. Low attrition rates, the ability to name specific team members, and systematic onboarding processes demonstrate that the provider can maintain consistent delivery over multi-year engagements rather than cycling through resources.

### 4. Rapid Mobilization and Scaling

Rapid mobilization and scaling ability address the capacity pain point. Buyers need providers who can quickly deploy qualified resources and scale teams up or down as project demands shift, without sacrificing quality or requiring lengthy recruitment cycles.

### 5. Transparent and Stable Pricing

Transparent and stable pricing builds trust in commercial relationships. Clear rate structures, predictable costs, and flexible contract models allow buyers to plan budgets confidently and avoid scope disputes that damage partnerships.

### 6. Finnish Market Adaptation

Finnish market adaptation represents the final differentiator. Understanding Finnish legal requirements, business practices, and demonstrating a genuine commitment to long-term relationship building separates providers who succeed in this market from those who treat it as transactional.

## 8.4 Practical Actions and Common Mistakes

### How to win

- **Target narrowly.** Select one or two buyer needs (such as capacity or specialist depth) or one or two verticals (e.g., finance, healthcare) and align all proof to them.
- **Pilot first.** Propose a €30–50k PoC that de-risks the choice; treat it as an extended interview with reference-grade quality, proactive comms, and thorough documentation.
- **Enter via subcontracting.** Partner with Finnish integrators to earn local references and ways of working, then move to direct customer relationships over 18–36 months.

- **Lead with regulation where urgent.** Package fixed-price DORA/NIS2/AI-Act assessments and implementations with clear deliverables and dates.
- **Invest before revenue.** Build trust over 6–18 months – coffee meetings, occasional pro-bono advice, monthly touchpoints – without pressure.

## Five Common Mistakes and Their Mitigation

### 1. Underestimating sales cycle length

Sales cycles can be as long as 6-12 months for enterprises, 8-18 months for the public sector. Providers may abandon sales after 3-4 months, misinterpreting silence as disinterest.

Mitigation: Realistic pipeline expectations, monthly touchpoints during quiet periods, and avoiding aggressive follow-up.

### 2. Competing on price alone

Competing on price alone attracts transactional buyers who churn for 5-10% savings (12-24 month relationships vs. 3-5+ year partnerships).

Mitigation: Position on value, not price, specialize in premium domains, invest in operational excellence, walk away from unrealistic expectations.

### 3. Overpromising capabilities

Claiming unavailable expertise can destroy a reputation in Finland's small IT community.

Mitigation: Commit only to demonstrated capabilities, propose €30-50K pilots, acknowledge skill gaps, and buffer estimates.

### 4. Ignoring cultural differences

Finnish low-context direct communication, flat hierarchy, and individual responsibility differ from those of many developing countries.

Mitigation: Invest in cultural training, adapt to Finnish preferences (written confirmations, factual language, minimal small talk), and mirror client patterns.

### 5. Operating purely remotely

Operating purely remotely misses relationship-based opportunities (significant procurement through networks, not RFPs).

Mitigation: Establish a Finnish presence (€ 5,000-€ 10,000 setup, € 15,000-€ 25,000 annually), attend industry events (e.g., Slush, associations), join the Software Finland Association, and build university partnerships.

## 8.5 Customer Retention and Expansion Strategies

Account growth in Finland hinges on staying power: deliver reliably, manage the relationship deliberately, and make a visible business impact. Do that, and the scope expands without hard-sell tactics.

- **Over-deliver, consistently.** Ship early, resolve issues before they escalate, and provide guidance beyond the scope. Maintain a named, stable team with low attrition rates; identify risks early and propose practical solutions to mitigate them.
- **Make account management a discipline.** Use a dedicated account manager (not a multi-hat PM/tech lead). Conduct quarterly business reviews, cultivate relationships with CIOs/CTOs, and visit Finland at least quarterly for key accounts. Establish an annual joint plan.
- **Prove value in numbers.** Track and communicate outcomes—cost saved, time-to-market, quality, and efficiency. Surface adjacent needs, introduce peers in other divisions, and contribute insight (industry notes, tech trends, competitive signals).
- **Scale by performance, not pressure.** Typical path: pilot 3–5 people → primary team 10–15 in 12–18 months → multiple teams 20–30+ in 24–36 months.
- **Broaden offers as trust builds.** Capacity → specialist help (compliance, architecture, DevOps); project delivery → managed services (support, monitoring, maintenance); project → product partnership for ongoing features and scaling.
- **Expand across the enterprise.** Strong results in one unit serve as internal references; CIO/CTO sponsorship enables a broader rollout of these results.
- **Lock in durable structures.** Move from project fees to retainers/managed services, secure preferred-vendor status with pre-agreed terms, and aim for multi-year strategic partnerships with joint capability investment.



## 9 Market Landscape: Sector-Specific Market Opportunities

This chapter examines the competitive environment and sector-specific opportunities in Finland's ICT services market, focusing on manufacturing, telecommunications, the public sector, and the startup ecosystem.

### 9.1 Offshore Providers: tiers, positioning, and what wins

Offshore providers in Finland fall into tiers. Indian majors (TCS, Infosys, Wipro, HCL, Tech Mahindra) dominate large enterprises via entrenched relationships, mature delivery, and the ability to scale fast. Most keep Helsinki offices and compete on operational scale rather than price alone.

Positioning hinges on location: nearshore offers time-zone and cultural alignment, easy travel, and EU compliance, which is beneficial for real-time collaboration but limited by smaller talent pools. Farshore brings bigger cost differentials, deep benches, and process maturity, with domain clusters that scale quickly.

What wins is operational excellence over credentials: reliable delivery, stable teams, clear communication, and predictable velocity. Low attrition and multi-year references beat certificate-heavy pitches without proof of execution.

### 9.2 Private Sector Opportunities

#### Manufacturing / industrial

Large industrial companies (e.g. Kone, Wärtsilä, Valmet, John Deere, Metso) are driving the adoption of IoT, predictive maintenance, factory automation, and OT/IT convergence on top of proven stacks such as industrial protocols (OPC UA, MQTT, Modbus), RTOS (QNX, VxWorks, FreeRTOS), edge computing, and digital twins. Typical entry points are OT/IT security (IEC 62443) and embedded or safety-critical work (ISO 26262, IEC 61508) backed by industrial references. Sales cycles usually last **6–12 months and often evolve into relationships lasting 3–5 years or more**, with commercials starting from €50–150k PoCs and scaling to €300k–€1m+ annually; niche experts can command significantly higher hourly rates than local generalists.

## Telecom

Operators (Elisa, Telia, DNA) and Nokia Networks drive 5G roll-outs, network automation, and cloud-native transformation (NFV, SDN, Kubernetes, service mesh) under 3GPP/ETSI standards. Work demands 99.99% availability, tight security, and complex OSS/BSS integration, plus regulatory obligations such as lawful intercept and data retention. Cycles are **9–15 months long**, aligned with deployment windows, and credible entry requires fluency in the telecom domain (architecture, signalling), cloud-native operations (orchestration, observability), relevant clearances, and references; within that, opportunity areas include network automation/AIOps, 5G core, OSS/BSS modernisation, customer-facing digital services, and IoT connectivity.

## 9.5 Public Sector Opportunities and Barriers

The Finnish public sector represents a significant opportunity, but with high entry barriers. Procurement occurs primarily through framework agreements, which require a Finnish legal entity, comprehensive tender responses, and demonstrated public sector experience. Language requirements for citizen-facing systems and strict compliance standards create additional hurdles.

Entry strategies include partnering as a subcontractor with established Finnish integrators, targeting smaller municipalities with simpler procurement, or engaging through university research partnerships. Success requires patient capital and acceptance of extended timelines.

## 9.6 Startup Ecosystem Collaboration Potential

The Finnish startup ecosystem offers fast decision-making, the adoption of modern technology, and alternative commercial models, including equity participation. Concentrated in Helsinki, Oulu, and Tampere, startups offer rapid market entry opportunities with 2-6 week procurement cycles, compared to the longer timelines of enterprises.

Collaboration models range from technical co-founder services and MVP development to growth-stage scaling support. Access is facilitated through hubs like Maria 01, events such as Slush, and Nordic VC networks. While failure rates remain high, successful partnerships can yield exponential growth as startups scale.

## **9.7 Vertical Specialization Advantages**

Generic positioning struggles against specialized alternatives that demonstrate deep domain expertise. Vertical specialization differentiates itself through industry knowledge, specialized technical capabilities, sector-specific references, and premium pricing justified by the scarcity of expertise.

Key verticals showing strong demand include financial services (regulatory compliance, payment systems), healthcare (interoperability, medical device software), industrial IoT (OT/IT convergence, real-time systems), logistics (route optimization, supply chain visibility), and gaming (multiplayer infrastructure, performance optimization).

## 10 Future Trends and Opportunities (2025–2030)

Understanding emerging trends enables providers to position themselves for future opportunities and avoid declining segments.

### 10.1 AI and Automation Driving New Service Needs

#### Generative AI / LLM (2024–2027)

Demand concentrates on LLM apps (RAG, prompt design, fine-tuning), AI safety/governance, MLOps at scale, and vector search. The market is roughly €50–200 million per year (2025–27) across finance (service automation, document flows, and fraud), healthcare (documentation and diagnostic support), manufacturing (predictive maintenance and quality), and customer service.

Entry barriers are falling as OpenAI, Claude, and Hugging Face make competent build work accessible; advantage shifts to domain knowledge plus compliant delivery. For high-risk uses, the AI Act introduces risk management, data governance, technical documentation, human oversight, and conformity assessment, creating a substantial annual opportunity for providers that combine AI and regulatory expertise.

#### Three practical angles

- Lead with domain-specific LLM use-cases and measured outcomes; package RAG + governance + monitoring.
- Treat governance as a product: policy, pipelines, audit trails, and bias testing ready to pass conformity checks.
- Sell pilots that convert to a managed run state (monitoring, retraining, reporting).

#### AI-augmented development (2025–2030)

Tools such as Github Copilot, Claude Code and OpenAI Codex are widely adopted and many have seen productivity improved by **20–30%**. This erodes some of the low-cost labour advantage. Buyers will pay for architecture, design, and domain problem-solving, pricing shifts from capacity to outcomes. Most providers will be expected to be fluent in the use of these augmented technologies.

## Implications

- Industrialise AI tooling across teams; bake in security, review, and telemetry.
- Reposition from “extra hands” to expertise and packaged IP (reference implementations, accelerators).
- Experiment with value-based pricing (value/outcome) where quality and cycle time are demonstrably improved.

## 10.2 Shifts in Global Sourcing Strategies post-2020s

Geopolitics, supply chain shocks, and European regulations are driving buyers toward providers aligned with the EU. Eastern Europe (Poland, Romania, the Baltics) is taking market share from India due to time zone, cultural, and regulatory fit. Latin America’s cost appeal is offset by distance and limited familiarity among EU citizens.

Southeast Asia remains the cost leader but loses premium work. GDPR, NIS2, and DORA favour EU-based processing, and regulated sectors increasingly mandate EU/EEA data residency. The implication is structural: European providers gain an enduring edge. Non-EU vendors are required to have an EU entity, EU-based delivery, or sector certifications. “Finland sales, farshore delivery” hybrids face more scrutiny than pure nearshore arrangements.

**Remote work** has normalised distributed teams and mixed models (core nearshore, specialist farshore), and many clients have cut out agencies preferring to assemble teams from contractors from contracting suppliers.

Finnish buyers accept remote-first approaches but prefer at least four hours of overlap in daily schedules and periodic in-person meetings; fully asynchronous arrangements remain a niche option.

Traditional providers differentiate on team stability, end-to-end delivery, domain depth, and process/QA maturity—areas where platforms struggle with turnover and cohesion.

## **10.3 Sustainability and ethical sourcing considerations**

### **ESG requirements (2025-2030)**

ESG criteria increasingly influence procurement – large enterprises mandate supplier ESG reporting (carbon footprint, labor practices, diversity). Public sector (Hansel) incorporates sustainability criteria (10-20% weighting by 2027). The preference for ESG varies in between companies with some not raising the issue and others being strict on compliance.

The EU Corporate Sustainability Due Diligence Directive (2027-2029) requires supply chain assessment.

### **Provider implications**

Document/report carbon footprint (office energy, travel, cloud infrastructure), demonstrate ethical labor practices (fair compensation, working conditions, no child/forced labor, diversity/inclusion), obtain sustainability certifications (ISO 14001, BCorp, EcoVadis), partner with carbon-neutral cloud providers (Google Cloud, Azure carbon-negative).

### **Competitive advantage**

Early movers (2025-2027) differentiate in enterprise/public procurement before mainstream adoption. Scandinavian buyers particularly emphasize sustainability. Younger Finnish buyers (millennial/Gen-Z) prioritize ESG over purely price/quality.

### **Ethical AI/algorithmic fairness (2025-2030)**

The EU AI Act and societal concerns drive ethical AI demand, focusing on bias detection/mitigation (algorithmic fairness across demographics), explainability/interpretability (GDPR right to explanation, high-stakes transparency), human oversight (meaningful control and override capabilities), and impact assessments (fundamental rights for high-risk AI).

### **Service opportunities**

Ethical AI auditing (third-party assessment for bias, fairness, compliance), responsible AI frameworks (policies, procedures, governance), AI ethics training/consulting.

## **11 Recommendations and Action Plan**

Four distinct market entry strategies for developing country ICT providers targeting Finland. Each addresses different risk profiles, resource requirements, and time horizons. Select the approach that aligns with your capabilities, budget, and strategic objectives.

### **11.1 Recommendation 1: Quick Wins — The Subcontractor Entry Path**

The subcontractor route is the lowest-risk, fastest way to enter Finland without an existing presence. Follow a four-step path: begin as a sub-subcontractor to large firms (e.g., Accenture, CGI, Tietoevry), move up to a direct subcontractor with mid-size consultancies (Gofore, Reaktor, Vincit), use brokers and staffing platforms (eWork, Siili One, Fintec—often on-site), then transition to direct clients while keeping strategic partnerships.

This works because prime contractors handle sales and client management, providing structured exposure to Finnish delivery practices while building brand association, which in turn creates credibility. It also enables enterprise-scale projects that are challenging to win on their own and provides predictable payment terms, thereby reducing financial risk.

### **11.2 Recommendation 2: Specialized Domain Expertise**

Companies with deep expertise in specific technical domains or industry verticals can capitalize on Finnish talent shortages. Whether in AI/ML, cloud architecture, cybersecurity, or sector-specific knowledge (such as fintech, healthcare IT, or industrial IoT), specialized providers command premium pricing due to their scarcity.

#### **Service positioning**

Offer expertise-driven services in areas where Finnish companies face critical gaps—advanced AI/ML implementation, cloud-native transformation, embedded systems development, or industry-specific solutions. Regulatory compliance (DORA, NIS2, AI Act) represents one opportunity among many for specialists.

### **11.3 Recommendation 3: Pure Offshore Model — Technical Excellence Without Local Presence**

The pure offshore model enables market entry without a physical presence in Finland or a dedicated sales team, relying instead on technical excellence and a digital reputation to attract clients through inbound marketing.

This approach requires 24-36 months to achieve sustainable deal flow and is unsuitable for general-purpose software development – it demands hyper-specialization in narrow technical domains, with few global experts, public evidence of expertise (such as GitHub projects and conference talks), native-level English fluency, and premium pricing that competes on expertise rather than cost.

### **11.4 Recommendation 4: Long-Game Strategy — Building Sustainable Market Position**

The long-game strategy builds a durable market position over 18-36 months through four systematic pillars: certifications (both ISO-based and vendor-dependent), references, relationships, and sector specialization. Rather than transactional delivery, this positions your company as a strategic extension of Finnish clients' teams, commanding premium pricing and generating referrals through multi-year relationships.

## **12 Conclusion: Choosing Your Path**

Most successful market entries have **combined multiple strategies**, using, for example, Recommendation 1 to generate initial revenue and market learning, adding Recommendation 2 to capitalize on regulatory tailwinds, while executing Recommendation 4 to establish sustainable competitive positioning. Recommendation 3 is best suited for solo consultants or boutique specialists rather than service companies seeking scale.

The Finnish ICT market rewards quality, reliability, and specialization. Whichever path you choose, commit fully to excellence in execution. Finnish buyers have high standards, but once you earn their trust through demonstrated competence, they become long-term partners and advocates.



## Notes and Sources

This chapter compiles all notes and sources in the order of their first appearance in the main text.

1. “Evolution of retail payments in Finland in the 1990s” — Bank of Finland (2000).  
<https://www.econstor.eu/bitstream/10419/211873/1/bof-rdp2000-019.pdf>
2. “Purposes of the use of the Internet in spring 2005” — Statistics Finland (OSF) (2006-06-12).  
[https://stat.fi/til/sutivi/2005/sutivi\\_2005\\_2006-06-12\\_tau\\_001\\_en.html](https://stat.fi/til/sutivi/2005/sutivi_2005_2006-06-12_tau_001_en.html)
3. “Vakuutukset verkossa: vakuutusyhtiöiden tarjonta ja kuluttajien odotukset” [Insurance online: insurers’ offerings and consumer expectations] — Kuluttajatutkimuskeskus (Consumer Research Centre) (2001).  
<https://research.aalto.fi/fi/publications/vakuutukset-verkossa-vakuutusyhtiöiden-tarjonta-ja-kuluttajien-od/>
4. “Joka toinen tehnyt verkko-ostoksia” [Every second person has made online purchases] — Statistics Finland (2006-10-23).  
[https://stat.fi/artikkelit/2006/art\\_2006-10-23\\_001.html](https://stat.fi/artikkelit/2006/art_2006-10-23_001.html)
5. “A brief history of the Kanta Services” — Kela / Kanta (n.d.).  
<https://www.kanta.fi/en/a-brief-history-of-the-kanta-services>
6. “Longitudinal study of Kanta implementation 2010–2017” — FinJeHeW (2018).  
<https://journal.fi/finjehew/article/view/74511> (DOI: <https://doi.org/10.23996/fjhw.74511>)
7. “Verohallinto tulossopimus 2020” [Tax Administration performance agreement 2020] — Ministry of Finance / Finnish Tax Administration (2020).  
[https://vm.fi/documents/10623/22931155/Verohallinto\\_tulossopimus\\_2020.pdf](https://vm.fi/documents/10623/22931155/Verohallinto_tulossopimus_2020.pdf)
8. “Vuosikertomus 2019” [Annual Report 2019] — Tax Administration (2019).  
[https://www.vero.fi/tietoa-verohallinnosta/verohallinnon\\_esittely/verohallinnon-vuosi/vuosikertomusarkisto/vuosikertomus-2019/](https://www.vero.fi/tietoa-verohallinnosta/verohallinnon_esittely/verohallinnon-vuosi/vuosikertomusarkisto/vuosikertomus-2019/)
9. “OmaVero information pages” — Tax Administration (n.d.).  
[https://www.vero.fi/henkiloasiakkaat/verokortti-ja-veroilmoitus/veroilmoitus\\_ja\\_verotuspaato/verotuspaaotos/](https://www.vero.fi/henkiloasiakkaat/verokortti-ja-veroilmoitus/veroilmoitus_ja_verotuspaato/verotuspaaotos/)

10. "Apotti implementation 2016–2020; first go-live 10 Nov 2018 (HUS Peijas)" — Apotti (n.d.).  
<https://www.apotti.fi/en/apotti/oy-apotti-ab/> ; <https://www.apotti.fi/apotti-pian-kayttoon-hus-peijaksessa/>
11. "Finnish Software Product Business: Results from the National Software Industry Survey 2005" — Centre of Expertise for Software Product Business (2005).  
<https://research.aalto.fi/en/publications/finnish-software-product-business-results-from-the-national-softw-2> ;  
[https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Aki-Lassila/publication/260387607\\_Finnish\\_Software\\_Product\\_Business\\_Results\\_from\\_the\\_National\\_Software\\_Industry\\_Survey\\_2005/links/553df6580cf2c415bb0f8583/Finnish-Software-Product-Business-Results-from-the-National-Software-Industry-Survey-2005.pdf](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Aki-Lassila/publication/260387607_Finnish_Software_Product_Business_Results_from_the_National_Software_Industry_Survey_2005/links/553df6580cf2c415bb0f8583/Finnish-Software-Product-Business-Results-from-the-National-Software-Industry-Survey-2005.pdf)
12. "ICT-markkinat ja ulkomaankauppa" [ICT markets and foreign trade] — FiCom (2025-10-21).  
<https://ficom.fi/ict-ala/tietopankki/ict-toimialan-tunnuslukuja/ict-alan-tunnuslukuja/ict-markkinat-ja-ulkomaankauppa/>
13. "IT services are a key part of Finland's service exports" — Bank of Finland Bulletin (2024).  
<https://www.bofbulletin.fi/en/2024/5/it-services-are-a-key-part-of-finland-s-service-exports/>
14. "Knowledge Spillovers From Superstar Tech-Firms" — Ali-Yrkkö et al., IMF Working Paper (2021).  
<https://www.imf.org/en/Publications/WP/Issues/2021/10/29/Knowledge-Spillovers-From-Superstar-Tech-Firms-The-Case-of-Nokia-501347>
15. "Study on Nokia's decline and organizational factors" — University of Jyväskylä (2025).  
<https://www.jyu.fi/en/feature-article/finnish-study-on-the-decline-of-nokias-mobile-phone-business-draws-record-readership>
16. "ICT sector - value added, employment and R&D" — Eurostat (2023).  
[https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/ICT\\_sector\\_-\\_value\\_added,\\_employment\\_and\\_R%2526D](https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/ICT_sector_-_value_added,_employment_and_R%2526D)
17. "Inter-industry and intra-industry switching as sources of productivity growth - Structural change of Finland's ICT industries" — ETLA Working Paper (2023).  
<https://www.etla.fi/en/publications/working-papers-en/inter-industry-and-intra-industry-switching-as-sources-of-productivity-growth-structural-change-of-finlands-ict-industries/>
18. "Structural Change of Finland's ICT Industries" — Journal article (2024).  
<https://www.utupub.fi/bitstream/handle/10024/193139/s11123-023-00712-0.pdf>
19. "ICT 2015 — 21 polkua kitkattomaan Suomeen" — Ministry of Employment & the Economy (2013).

Finnish PDF:

<https://tem.fi/documents/1410877/2864661/21%2Bpolkua%2Bkitkattomaan%2BSuomeen%2B04032013.pdf> ;

English abstract:

<https://stm.fi/documents/1410877/3437254/21%2BPaths%2Bto%2Ba%2Bfrictionless%2BFinland%2B15052013.pdf>

- 20.** “Sector report: Software sector set to grow, limited availability of skilled labour a challenge” — Valtioneuvosto (Finnish Government) (2020).  
<https://valtioneuvosto.fi/en/-/1410877/toimialaraportti-ohjelmistoalan-kasvu-jatkuu-osaavan-tyovoiman-saatavuus-uhkana>
- 21.** “Finnish Game Industry Report 2020” — Neogames (2021).  
<https://neogames.fi/finnish-game-industry-report-2020/>
- 22.** “Digital Operational Resilience Act (DORA)” — EIOPA (2025).  
[https://www.eiopa.europa.eu/digital-operational-resilience-act-dora\\_en](https://www.eiopa.europa.eu/digital-operational-resilience-act-dora_en)
- 23.** “Cybersecurity Act 124/2025 implementing NIS2” — Finnish Government (2025).  
<https://www.finlex.fi/fi/laki/alkup/2025/20250124>
- 24.** “AI Act — application timeline” — European Commission (2025).  
<https://digital-strategy.ec.europa.eu/en/policies/regulatory-framework-ai>
- 25.** “ICT-palvelujen ulkomaankauppa” [Foreign trade in ICT services] — FiCom (compiling Statistics Finland BoP data) (2023-02-20).  
<https://ficom.fi/wp-content/uploads/2023/02/ICT-palvelujen-ulkomaan-kauppa-20.2.2023.pdf>
- 26.** “From Wood to Nokia: The Impact of the ICT Sector in the Finnish Economy” — European Commission (2005).  
[https://ec.europa.eu/economy\\_finance/publications/pages/publication\\_summary1419\\_en.htm](https://ec.europa.eu/economy_finance/publications/pages/publication_summary1419_en.htm)
- 27.** “1990-luku” [The 1990s] — Suomen Tietojenkäsittelymuseoyhdistys ry (Finnish Data Processing Museum Association) (n.d.).  
<https://suomentietokonemuseo.fi/portfolio-item/1990-luku/>
- 28.** WTO (2024). Trade Statistics — Finland's services imports totaled USD 43.6 billion, representing a 6.2% annual increase.  
[https://www.wto.org/english/res\\_e/statis\\_e/world\\_trade\\_statistics\\_e.htm](https://www.wto.org/english/res_e/statis_e/world_trade_statistics_e.htm)

- 29.** Statistics Finland (2024). PxWeb Statistical Database - Service trade by area and service items.

[https://pxdata.stat.fi/PXWeb/pxweb/fi/StatFin/StatFin\\_\\_tpulk/statfin\\_tpulk\\_pxt\\_12gr.px](https://pxdata.stat.fi/PXWeb/pxweb/fi/StatFin/StatFin__tpulk/statfin_tpulk_pxt_12gr.px) ;

Documentation: <https://stat.fi/en/statistics/documentation/tpulk/2025-05-18>

- 30.** Whitelane Research (2024). Nordics 2024 IT Sourcing Study — Comprehensive study covering IT sourcing satisfaction (59% satisfaction rate in Finland), nearshore capacity constraints, rising costs in Poland/Baltics, and Nordic CIO priorities.

<https://whitelane.com/nordics-2024/>

- 31.** Eurostat (2024). International trade in services by partner — Table 2.

[https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/databrowser/view/bop\\_its6\\_det/default/table](https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/databrowser/view/bop_its6_det/default/table)

- 32.** FiCom / Statistics Finland (2023). ICT-alan opiskelijoiden ja työllisten määrä kasvussa [Number of ICT students and employees increasing] — Labour Force Survey data.

<https://ficom.fi/ajankohtaista/uutiset/ict-alan-opiskelijoiden-ja-tyollisten-maara-kasvussa/>

- 33.** FiCom / Statistics Finland (2023). ICT-alan yritysten tunnuslukuja [Key figures for ICT sector companies] — Business Structure and Financial Statement Statistics.

<https://ficom.fi/ict-ala/tietopankki/ict-toimialan-tunnuslukuja/ict-alan-tunnuslukuja/televiestintaalan-taloudellisia-tunnuslukuja/>

- 34.** Eurostat (2023). ICT specialists in employment — Finland: 68.61% of enterprises with their own ICT staff (highest in the EU).

[https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/ICT\\_specialists\\_in\\_employment](https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/ICT_specialists_in_employment)

- 35.** Ministry of Economic Affairs and Employment (2024). Occupational Barometer — ICT sector surplus noted at technician level; Ministry of Economic Affairs and Employment (2021): Occupational Barometer — ICT technicians on the top 15 surplus occupations list.

<https://tyomarkkinatori.fi/en/employment-and-statistics/evaluation-and-research/anticipation/labour-force-barometer>

- 36.** NelsonHall (2019). Tieto Acquiring EVRY — Finland revenue data (€0.7 billion, 47% of business).

[https://research.nelson-hall.com/blogs/?avpage-views=blog&type=post&post\\_id=943](https://research.nelson-hall.com/blogs/?avpage-views=blog&type=post&post_id=943)

- 37.** Mordor Intelligence (2025). Finland Management Consulting Services Market — Market size USD 1.92 billion in 2025, technology consulting segment growing at 7.83% CAGR through 2030, includes Gofore's revenue and growth data.

<https://www.mordorintelligence.com/industry-reports/finland-management-consulting-services-market>

- 38.** PAC/SITSI (2024). European IT Services market analysis — Identifies Accenture and CGI as key competitors in the Nordic market.

<https://sitsi.pacanalyst.com/>

- 39.** Hankinnat.fi. Kokonaistaloudellisesti edullisimman tarjouksen valinta (Best price-quality ratio selection).

<https://www.hankinnat.fi/eu-hankinta/tarjousten-valinta/kokonaistaloudellisesti-edullisimman-tarjouksen-valinta>

- 40.** Procurement Act 1397/2016, §93. Finlex:

<https://www.finlex.fi/fi/lainsaadanto/2016/1397> ;

Edilex PDF: <https://www.edilex.fi/saaduskokoelma/20161397.pdf>

- 41.** Valtioneuvosto (Prime Minister's Office). Valtioneuvoston hankintaohje 2023 (Government procurement guidance, PDF).

<https://julkaisut.valtioneuvosto.fi/server/api/core/bitstreams/2c563315-c27f-48ed-ad3f-36e4e37e2b28/content> ;

Ministry of Finance / Hankinta-Suomi. ICT-hankintojen pelikirja (ICT procurement playbook, PDF).

[https://vm.fi/documents/10623/15348578/Polku%2Bvaikuttaviin%2BICT-hankintoihin\\_pelikirja.pdf](https://vm.fi/documents/10623/15348578/Polku%2Bvaikuttaviin%2BICT-hankintoihin_pelikirja.pdf)

- 42.** European Commission (2024). Finland - Innovation Procurement Policy Framework Benchmarking — Confirms “scaling” is part of the national procurement strategy.

<https://ec.europa.eu/assets/rtd/innovation-procurement/country-report-2024-policy-benchm-finland.pdf>

- 43.** European Commission (2025). Finland 2025 Digital Decade Country Report — States “ICT specialists remain in short supply and should be further attracted from abroad.”

<https://digital-strategy.ec.europa.eu/en/factpages/finland-2025-digital-decade-country-report>

- 44.** Software Finland (2025). Sykemittari Spring 2025 — Survey of N=128 Finnish software companies showing 56% plan to hire (up from 45% in Fall 2024), only 12% reducing staff.

<https://www.softwarefinland.fi/ajankohtaista/ohjelmistoalan-suhdannekysely-kevat-2025-tulokset-julkaistu/>

- 45.** Statistics Finland (2023) + market research (2024). IT salary and consulting rate data — Software developer median €4,418/month; cloud architects €6,000-€8,000/month employee salary, €100-€140/hour consulting rates; domain specialists command a 20-40% premium.

<https://pxdata.stat.fi/PxWeb/pxweb/en/StatFin/>

- 46.** Ministry of Economic Affairs and Employment (2024). Occupational Barometer — ICT sector surplus noted at the technician level.

<https://tyomarkkinatori.fi/>

- 47.** Statistics Finland (2024). Services imports approximately €42 billion in 2023. Analysis based on Balance of Payments data.

<https://stat.fi/en/statistics/tpulk>

- 48.** Hansel (2023). IT-konsultointi 2023-2028 [IT consulting 2023–2028] (DPS) framework, including international providers.

<https://www.hansel.fi/yhteishankinnat/it-konsultointi-2023-2028/>

- 49.** Business Finland (2025). R&D funding programmes — Business development, research, and experimental projects with 35-50% co-funding (up to 70% for research-intensive), typical 2-4 year durations.

<https://www.businessfinland.fi/en/for-finnish-customers/services/funding/research-and-development>