# PROOF OF ASSESSMENT GLOBALG.A.P RISK ASSESSMENT ON SOCIAL PRACTICE (GRASP)

Assessment No. Date 10000325478-MSC-DNV GL-KEN 201

Date of Assessment 2019-11-18

Date of Upload 2019-12-23

Valid until 2020-11-17

Registration No.: CERT19052019GGKENACCREDIA

GGN Number: 4059883664379

Issued to

# **Biofarms Limited**

P.O BOX 79983 - 00200, Eastern Bypass, Nairobi, Kenya Country of production: **Kenya** 

# GLOBALG.A.P.

**OPT2-Producer Group** 

According to GRASP General Regulations V1.3 July 2015

The Annex 1 contains details of the GRASP results (GRASP Check List)
DNV GL Business Assurance Italia S.r.l. declares that the producer mentioned on this proof has been assessed according to the GLOBALG.A.P. Risk Assessment on Social Practice V1.3 July 2015
GLOBALG.A.P. certified products covered by GRASP:

Products	Assessment Number	Produce Handling	No. of GRASP internally assessed producers	Total number of producers
Avocados	00081-CVTFK-0002	Yes	14	37
		Total	14	37

### **Assessment Result:**

Does the assessment of the Quality Management System of the Group show evidence of the correct implementation of GRASP for all producer group members?

# **Fully compliant**

Place and date:

Vimercate (MB), 2019-12-24

Jackline Osebe Matoke

Lead auditor

for the Accredited Unit:

DNV GL Business Assurance Italia S.r.l.

**Sabrina Bianchini** Management Representative



GGN: 4059883664379

Registration number of producer/ producer group (from CB):

# **GLOBALG.A.P. RISK ASSESSMENT ON SOCIAL PRACTICE (GRASP)**

PROOF OF ASSESSMENT

According to

GRASP General Rules V1.3 July 2015

Option 2

Issued to
Producer Group Biofarms Limited (Grower Group)
P.O BOX 79983, 00200 NAIROBI, Kenya

The Annex contains details of the GRASP results (and the covered producer group members).

The Certification Body DNV GL Business Assurance Italia S.r.l. declares that the producer group mentioned on this proof has been assessed according to the GLOBALG.A.P. Risk Assessment on Social Practice Version 1.3 July 2015.

### GLOBALG.A.P.-certified products covered by GRASP:

Products Assessment Number  Avocados 00081-CVTFK-0002		Product Handling	No. of GRASP internally assessed producers	Total number of group members		
		Yes	14	37		
Total:			14	37		

1. Overall assessment result: Fully compliant GGN: 4059883664379

2. QMS result: Fully compliant

3. Assessment result in detail:

Control Point 1 Fully compliant Fully compliant Control Point 2 Fully compliant Control Point 3 Control Point 4 Fully compliant Fully compliant Control Point 5 Control Point 6 Fully compliant Control Point 7 Fully compliant Fully compliant Control Point 8 Control Point 9 Not applicable Control Point 10 Fully compliant Control Point 11 Fully compliant

Date of Assessment: 18-11-2019

Date of Upload: 23-12-2019

Validity: 18-11-2019 - 17-11-2020 (depending on GLOBALG.A.P. certificate validity)

The actual status of this proof is always displayed at: https://database.globalgap.org



# GLOBALG.A.P. RISK ASSESSMENT ON SOCIAL PRACTICE

GRASP Checklist - Version 1.3

Checklist Producer Group (Option 2)

Valid from: 1 July 2015

Mandatory from: 1 October 2015



1. CERTIFICATE HOLDER REGISTRATI	ON DATA							
Producer Group GGN/GLN:*	4059883664379		Registration N°:					
Company name:*	BIOFARMS LRD-RIOMANGA A DELF HELP GROUP	AVOCADO	Address:* P.O BOX 79983-00200-NAIROBI, KENYA					
Telephone:*	723078250							
Email:	info@biofarms.co.ke		Fax:					
Assessment date:*	18/11/2019		Contact person:*		PURITY KYALO			
Previous assessment date(s):								
Does the producer group have any other exter	nal audits or certification covering	social practices	s? If yes, which?					
Standard 1: SMETA	Standard 2:		Standard 3:		Standard 4:			
Valid to:	Valid to:		Valid to:		Valid to:			
						<b>-</b>		
Has the Certification Body detected any significant	cant breach of legal requirements of	concerning lab	or conditions?		☐ YES	<b>☑</b> NO		
Has the Certification Body reported this finding	to the local/national responsible a	and competent	authority?		☐ YES	<b>☑</b> NO		
Comments: There was no breaching of legal re	equirement noted during the audit.							
Company description: Th Bio farms company I farmers who are also GG certified .The compa July.Th packhouse is located at Aviation Centr	ny employees 12 workers at the pa	ackhouse amoi	ng them 3 are permana	ent while 9 are casuals .its				
		YEAF	2					
Total number of producer group members part	icipating in GRASP:		14					
Total number of producer group members inclu	uded in the GLOBALG.A.P. IFA Ce	ertificate:	14					
Total number of externally assessed GRASP p	producer group members:		14					
* Mandatory field		·						

List the (	GLOBALG	.A.P. Numbe	rs (GGN) or Global Loca	tion Number (GLN) c	of the externally asse	ssed GRA	SP produce	er group me	ember	s:		
4059883	664379	4059883666	6090 4059883666205	4059883666236	4059883666113							
Are prod	Are produce handling (PH) facilities included in the GRASP assessment?					YES NO						
Is produce handling sub-contracted?				YES	✓ N	0						
Does the produce handling facility(ies) have any social standards implemented?		<b>Y</b>	YES	□ N	0	If yes, which?	SMETA					
				If yes:	Name of th	the PH company:			BIOFARMS PACKHOUSE			
					GGN/GLN	of the PH of	compa	any (if applicable):	4059883664379			
Name ar	nd location	of the asses	sed PH Facilities:									
PH Facil	ity 1	BIOFARI	MS PACKHOUSE-NORT	H AIRPORT ROAD	NAIROBI, KENYA	PH Facili	ty 4					
PH Facil	ity 2					PH Facili	PH Facility 5					
PH Facil	ity 3					PH Facili	ty 6					
Does the	company	subcontract	any other activities?			<b>☑</b>	YES		NO			
If yes, w	hich one?					Are the s	ubcontracte	d activities	inclu	ded in the GRASP as	ssessment?	
			Pest and rodent control				YES		NO			
			Crop protection				YES		NO			
			Harvest				YES		NO			
		<b>☑</b>	Others (please specify) packing line from Kandi which is GG certified wi Middle East markets sir to determine the sizes clacks the calibrated cup	a Fresh Produce Sup th GLN No. 6164001 nce they require use of avocadoes,Biofarm	opliers Limited 132000 for EU and of calibration cups		YES	<b>Y</b>	NO			

2. STRUCTURE OF EMPLOYMENT										
applicable):					% of employee accommodation the company (in	n provided by				
Nationalities of employees	none									
Total number of employees	Local Cr		Cross-Border N	Cross-Border Migrants			National Migrants			
	Permanent	Temporary	Agency	Permanent	Temporary	Agency	Permanent	Temporary	Agency	
in agricultural production	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
in product handling facility(ies)	3	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
Total	3	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12

3. PRESENCE DURING THE ASSESSMENT									
	SITE MANAGEMENT		PERSON RESPONSIB IMPLEMENTATION OF		EMPLOYEES' REPRESENTATIVE				
Names <sup>1</sup> :	Daniel Nzyuko-Compar	ny Director	Purity Kyalo		Festus Nyamai				
Present at the opening meeting?	<b>✓</b> YES	□ NO	<b>✓</b> YES	□ NO	<b>✓</b> YES	□ NO			
Present at the assessment?	☐ YES	<b>☑</b> NO	<b>✓</b> YES	□ NO	☐ YES	<b>☑</b> NO			
Present at the closing meeting?	<b>✓</b> YES	□ NO	<b>✓</b> YES	□ NO	<b>✓</b> YES	□ NO			
OVERALL ASSESSMENT RESULT:	per sub-controlpoint)		Fully compliant						
Assessment results reviewed with company management?	<b>✓</b> YES	□ NO							
Name of certification body:	DNVGL		Duration of the assessn	nent:	1-DAY				
Name of assessor:	JACKLINE OSEBE MA	TOKE							
Name of company management:	Daniel Nzyuko-Compar	ny Director							
<sup>1</sup> Only mention the names if the persons have agreed to release there personal data to be uploaded with the checklist to the GLOBALG.A.P. Database.									

### **GRASP CHECKLIST**

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	C	OMPLIANO	CE						
			Υ	N	N/A						
EMPLO	EMPLOYEES' REPRESENTATIVE(S)										
1	CP: Is there at least one employee or an employees' council to represent the interests of the staff to the management through regular meetings where labor issues are addressed?										
	CC: Documentation demonstrates that an employees' representative(s) or an employees' council representing the interests of the employees to the management is elected or in exceptional cases nominated by all employees and recognized by the management. The election or nomination takes place in the ongoing year or production period and is communicated to all employees. This employees' representative(s) shall be aware of his/her/their role and rights and be able to discuss complaints and suggestions with the management. Meetings between employees' representative(s) and management occur at accurate frequency. The dialogue taking place in such meetings is duly documented. If a producer group member has less than 5 employees, it is allowed to have an employees' representative at the level of the producer group.										
1.1	The election/nomination procedure has been defined and communicated to all employees.		1	0	4						
1.2	Documentation shows that the election and the counting of votes were carried out fairly and openly. In case of representative(s) not elected but nominated, there is a document justifying why elections could not take place.		1	0	4						
1.3	The results of the election (name of employees' representative(s) or in case of council composition of the council) were communicated to all employees.		1	0	4						
1.4	The election/nomination has taken place in the ongoing year or production period. The representation is current (all elected/nominated person(s) according to the list still working for the company).		1	0	4						
1.5	The employees' representative(s) is/are recognized by the management and a job description clearly defines his/her/their role and rights. The employees' representative(s) is/are aware of his/her/their role and rights (in case of an employees' council, all members are interviewed).		1	0	4						
1.6	There is documentary evidence of regular meetings at accurate frequency between the employees' representative(s) and the management, where GRASP related issues are addressed.		1	0	4						
COMP	LIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 1: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)		Fu	ılly complia	ant						
during count v	ce/Remarks: The company has documeneted a Worker representative and Election document which clearly outlines the elect the meeting held on 9th/07/2019 .Elections were held on 09/07/2019 and it was done through secret balloting 18 workers vote was documenetd in the minutes of the meeting on the same day. Minutes of emplyees meeting were available latest being on 5 his roles, it was dated on 15/08/2019.	ed and Festus gannered 9 votesbe	coming th	ne winner.	The vote						
Correc	tive Actions:										

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	CC	OMPLIAN	CE			
			Y	N	N/A			
COMP	PLAINT PROCEDURE							
2	CP: Is there a complaint and suggestion procedure available and implemented in the company through which employees can make a complaint or suggestion?							
	CC: A complaint and suggestion procedure appropriate to the size of the company exists. The employees are regularly informed about its existence, complaints and suggestions can be made without being penalized and are discussed in meetings between the employees' representative(s) and the management. The procedure specifies a timeframe to answer complaints and suggestions and take corrective actions. Complaints, suggestions and their follow-up from the last 24 months are documented.							
2.1	A documented complaint and suggestion procedure is available, appropriate to the size of the company.		1	0	4			
2.2	Employees are regularly and actively informed about the complaint and suggestion procedure.		1	0	4			
2.3	The procedure states clearly that employees will not be penalized for filing complaints or suggestions.		1	0	4			
2.4	Complaints and suggestions are discussed in meetings between the employees' representative(s) and the management.	-	1	0	4			
2.5	The procedure sets a timeframe to resolve complaints and suggestions (e.g. during the next month).		1	0	4			
2.6	The complaints, suggestions and their follow-up are documented and available for the last 24 months.		1	0	4			
COMP	PLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 2: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)		Fully compliant					

Evidence/Remarks: The farm has a documented Social Mangement System(SMS) which has included complaint handling procedure. There are four stages in which the complaint is handled, in the first stage is employees taking the complain to immedaite supervisor where its evaluated for 2 days if not dealt with it goes to worker representative and it also takes 2 days. Then at stage 3 its taken to the Human Resource where it will be investigated for 4 days and lastly stage 4 from human resource it will be handled by the company director and the communication to be done within the 4 days to the concerned employee. However from the procedure lacked the assurance by the management will not be penalized for filling complaints or suggestions as CP 2.3

Corrective Actions: The producer revised the complaint handling procedure where they included the assuarnace to the workers and worker representative that they will not be victimized when raising any complin or suggestions in the company. The revised document was scanned and sent for approval on 19/11/2019 and was approved on 20/11/2019 the NC was closed.

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIAN		NCE					
			Υ	N	N/A					
SELF-I	DECLARATION ON GOOD SOCIAL PRACTICES									
3	CP: Has a self-declaration on good social practice regarding human rights been signed by the management and the employees' representative(s) and has this been communicated to the employees?									
	CC: The management and the employees' representative(s) have signed, displayed and put in practice a self-declaration assuring good social practice and human rights of all employees. This declaration contains at least the commitment to the ILO core labor conventions (ILO Conventions: 111 on discrimination, 138 and 182 on minimum age and child labor, 29 and 105 on forced labor, 87 on freedom of association, 98 on the right to organize and collective bargaining, 100 on equal remuneration and 99 on minimum wage) and transparent and non-discriminative hiring procedures and the complaint procedure. The self-declaration states that the employees' representative(s) can file complaints without personal sanctions. The employees have been informed about the self-declaration and it is revised at least every 3 years or whenever necessary.									
3.1	The declaration is complete and contains at least all points referred to ILO core labor conventions.		1	0	4					
3.2	The declaration has been signed by the management and by the employees' representative(s).		1	0	4					
3.3	The declaration is actively communicated to the employees (e.g. displayed on the production site/in the handling unit/management office or attached to the working contract, information at meetings etc.).		1	0	4					
3.4	The management, the responsible person for the implementation of GRASP and the employees' representative(s) know the content of the declaration and confirm that it is put into practice.	å å	1	0	4					
3.5	It is stated that the employees' representative(s) can file complaints without personal sanctions.		1	0	4					
3.6	The declaration is checked and revised at least every 3 years or whenever necessary.		1	0	4					
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 3: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)										

Evidence/Remarks: The farm has a self -declaration on good social practices which is well displayed on the Packhouse notice board. The self-declaration has been signed by managemnt and worker representaive this was done on 15/08/2019. It contains at least all points reffered to ILO Core labor conventions which include No discrimaination, No employeing of Underage in relation to local legal regulations, workers are free to join any organization, among others ,however the policy does not state that employees can file complints without personal sanctions as per the control point CP 3.5

Corrective Actions: The producer revised the self-declaration on good social practices which was displayed where they included the assuarnace to the workers and worker representative that they will not be victimized when raising any complain or suggestions in the company. The revised document was scanned and sent for approval on 19/11/2019 it was approved on 20/11/2019 the NC was closed.

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE							
			Υ	N	N/A					
ACCE	ESS TO NATIONAL LABOUR REGULATIONS									
4	CP: Do the person responsible for the implementation of GRASP (RGSP) and the employees' representative(s) have knowledge.	edge of or access to recent nation	al labor re	egulations	?					
	CC: The person responsible for implementation of GRASP (RGSP) and the employees' representative(s) have knowledge of or access to national labor regulations, such as gross and minimum wages, working hours, trade union membership, anti-discrimination, child labor, labor contracts, holiday and maternity leave. Both the RGSP and the employees' representative(s) know the essential points of working conditions in agriculture as formulated in the applicable GRASP National Interpretation Guidelines.									
4.1	The RGSP provides the employees' representative(s) with the valid labor regulations (e.g. the GRASP National Interpretation Guidelines).		1	0	4					
4.2	RGSP and employees' representative(s) have knowledge about or access to the valid labor regulations on gross and minimum wages and deductions from wages.		1	0	4					
4.3	RGSP and employees' representative(s) have knowledge about or access to the valid labor regulations on working hours.		1	0	4					
4.4	RGSP and employees' representative(s) have knowledge about or access to the valid labor regulations on freedom of association and right to collective bargaining.		1	0	4					
4.5	RGSP and employees' representative(s) have knowledge about or access to the valid labor regulations on anti-discrimination.		1	0	4					
4.6	RGSP and employees' representative(s) have knowledge about or access to the valid labor regulations on child labor and minimum age of working.		1	0	4					
4.7	RGSP and employees' representative(s) have knowledge about or access to the valid labor regulations on holiday and maternity leave.		1	0	4					
COM	PLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 4: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)		Fully compliant							
	nce/Remarks: The worker representaive and GRASP Implementation personnel of the company have access on the National Litaion, anti-discrimination law,regulation on child labor holday and maternity leaves among others) which the company has both		hours,fre	edom of						

Code Ref. GRASP V1.3\_July15; English Version GRASP - Checklist Producer Group (Option 2) Page 12 of 22

٧°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE						
			Y	N	N/A				
NORI	KING CONTRACTS								
5	CP: Can valid copies of working contracts be shown for the employees? Are the working contracts compliant with applicable legislation and/or collective bargaining agreements and do they indicate at least full names, nationality, a job description, date of birth, date of entry, the regular working time, wage and the period of employment? Have they been signed by both the employee and the employer?								
	CC: For every employee, a contract can be shown to the assessor on request on a sample basis. The contracts correspond with the applicable legislation and/or collective bargaining agreements. Both the employees as well as the employer have signed them. Records contain at least full names, nationality, a job description, date of birth, date of entry, the regular working time, wage and the period of employment (e.g. permanent, period or day laborer etc.) and for non-national employees their legal status and working permit. The contract does not show contradiction to the self-declaration on good social practices. Records of the employees must be accessible for at least 24 months.								
5.1	Random checks show availability of written contracts for all employees signed by both parties.		1	0	4				
5.2	There is evidence that the employees have the correct contract according to national legislation and/or collective bargaining agreements (as stipulated in the applicable GRASP National Interpretation Guideline).		1	0	4				
5.3	The working contracts include at least basic information on the employee's name, date of birth and nationality according to the applicable GRASP National Interpretation Guideline.		1	0	4				
5.4	The working contracts or attachments to the contracts include basic information on the contract period (e.g. permanent, period or day laborer etc.), the wage, working hours, breaks, and a basic job description.		1	0	4				
5.5	In the contract, there is no contradiction to the self-declaration on good social practice.		1	0	4				
5.6	If non-national employees are working for the company, records indicate their legal status for being employed by the company. A respective working permit is available.		1	0	4				
5.7	Records of the employees must be accessible for at least 24 months.		1	0	4				
COME	PLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 5: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)		Fully compliant						

while the employee are to work 6 consercutive days to earn a rest day. The overtime is to be paid 1.5 times the hourly rate and 2 times the basic hourly rate for time worked during rest days or public holiday. The contracts have been signed by employer and emplyee.

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE								
			Υ	N	N/A						
PAYS	PAYSLIPS										
6	CP: Is there documented evidence indicating regular payment of salaries corresponding to the contract clause?										
	CC: The employer shows adequate documentation of the regular salary transfer (e.g. employee's signature on pay slip, bank transfer). Employees sign or receive copies of pay slips/pay register that make the payment transparent and comprehensible for them. Regular payment of the employees during the last 24 months is documented.										
6.1	Documented evidence that the payment is made in defined intervals (e.g. pay slips or pay registers) is available for the employees (random checks).		1	0	4						
6.2	Pay slips or pay registers indicate that payments are made in accordance with the working contracts (e.g. employee's signature on pay slips, bank transfer etc.).		1	0	4						
6.3	The records of payments are kept for at least 24 months.		1	0	4						
COMF	PLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 6: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)		Fu	lly complia	ant						
bank t	Evidence/Remarks There was a documeneted evidence to show that payments are made in a defined intervals such as every end month the permanents are paid ,this was noted thruough the payment transfer records which were available during the audit, while casuals are paid per week this was evident by the payment vouchers that wre available for several weeks. There were also signatures on the payslips for permanents and wages forms for the casuals.										
Corre	ctive Actions:										

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANC		CE
			Υ	N	N/A
WAGE	s				
7	CP: Do pay slips/pay registers indicate the conformity of payment with at least legal regulations and/or collective bargaining	agreements?			
	CC: Wages and overtime payment documented on the pay slips/pay registers indicate compliance with legal regulations (m specified in the GRASP National Interpretation Guideline. If payment is calculated per unit, employees shall be able to gain working hours.				
7.1	Pay slips or pay registers give clear indication on the number of compensated working time or harvested amount including overtime (hours/days).		1	0	4
7.2	Wages and overtime payments as shown in the records are according to the contracts and indicate compliance with national labor regulations (minimum wages), and/or collective bargaining agreements as specified in the GRASP National Interpretation Guideline.		1	0	4
7.3	Independently from the calculation unit, pay slips/pay registers document that employees gain in average at least the legal minimum wage within regular working times (especially check when piece-rate is implemented). If there are deductions from salaries and employees are being paid below minimum wage, the deductions must be justified in writing.		1	0	4
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 7: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)			Fully compliant		
Evidon	Evidence/Pamerka: The payaline for the permanent employees and pay yougher for the equals shows clearly the times worked Wages shown on the contracts are the open that are reflected on				

Evidence/Remarks: The payslips for the permanent employees and pay voucher for the casuals shows clearly the times worked. Wages shown on the contracts are the ones that are reflected on the payslips and voucher. The company pays 72.75 ksh on overtime hourly worked however the workers were noted not to have worked overtime from the records available. All categories of employees are paid far above the minimum requirements. Permanents is above 35,000 per month while casuals is 582 ksh. per day against the minimum requirements of 285 ksh. per day

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	CC	OMPLIAN	CE
			Y	N	N/A
NON-	EMPLOYMENT OF MINORS				
8	CP: Do records indicate that no minors are employed at the company?				
	CC: Records indicate compliance with national legislation regarding minimum age of employment. If not covered by national children—as core family members—are working at the company, they are not engaged in work that is dangerous to their heal them from finishing their compulsory school education.				
8.1	Dates of birth on the records show that no employee is aged below the legal minimum age of employment or, if not specified in the GRASP National Interpretation Guideline, under the age of 15.		1	0	4
8.2	If children – as core family members – are working at the company, they are not engaged in work that is dangerous to their health and safety (according to the applicable IFA All Farm Base Module), that jeopardizes their development or prevents them from finishing their compulsory school education.		0	0	4
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 8: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)				lly compli	ant
Evider	Evidence/Remarks: From the working contracts for both permanents and casual staff it was eveident that there no minors employed in the company as each employee had an attached national				

Evidence/Remarks: From the working contracts for both permanents and casual staff it was eveident that there no minors employed in the company as each employee had an attached national ID that showed their birth dates. No one is below 18 years the minimum legal age of employement in the country. The company does not employee core family members.

N°	ONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA VERIFICATION		COMPLIANCE			
			Y	N	N/A	
ACCE	SS TO COMPULSORY SCHOOL EDUCATION					
9	CP: Do the children of employees living on the company's production/handling sites have access to compulsory school edu	cation?				
	CC: There is documented evidence that children of employees at compulsory schooling age (according to national legislatic access to compulsory school education, either through provided transport to a public school or through on-site schooling.	on) living on the company's produc	tion/handl	ling sites h	nave	
9.1	There is a list of all children in the age of compulsory schooling age living on the company's production/handling sites, with sufficient indications on name, name of parents, date of birth, school attendance, etc. Children of management may be excluded.		0	0	4	
9.2	There is evidence of transport facilities if children cannot reach school within acceptable walking distance (half an hour walking or according to GRASP National Interpretation Guideline).		0	0	4	
9.3	There is evidence of an on-site schooling system when access to schools is not available.		0	0	4	
COMP	PLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 9: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)		No	ot applicat	ole	
Evider	nce/Remarks: The company does not accommodate anyone in its premises					
Correc	Corrective Actions:					

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMP		COMPLIA		CE
			Υ	N	N/A		
TIME	RECORDING SYSTEM						
10	CP: Is there a time recording system that shows daily working time and overtime on a daily basis for the employees?						
	CC: There is a time recording system implemented appropriate to the size of the company that makes working hours and o daily basis. Working times of the employees during the last 24 months are documented. Records are regularly approved by representative(s).				on a		
10.1	A time recording system is implemented, appropriate to the size of the company (e.g. time record sheet, check clock, electronic cards, etc.).		1	0	4		
10.2	The records indicate the regular working time for employees on a daily basis.		1	0	4		
10.3	The records indicate the overtime hours as defined by contracts per legislation for all employees on a daily basis.		1	0	4		
10.4	The records indicate the breaks/festive days for the employees (on a daily basis).		1	0	4		
10.5	The working records are regularly approved by the employees (e.g. regularly signed record sheet, checking clock).		1	0	4		
10.6	Access to these records is provided to the employees' representative(s).		1	0	4		
10.7	The records are kept for at least 24 months.		1	0	4		
COMF	PLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 10: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)		Fu	Illy compli	ant		
Evide	nce/Remarks: The company has a time rceording sheet for both the permanent and casuals where they record time in and tim	e out and also thetime taken for br	eaks.This	was evei	dent as		

Evidence/Remarks: The company has a time recording sheet for both the permanent and casuals where they record time in and time out and also thetime taken for breaks. This was eveident as they providede Time sheet record for casuals and a time record book for permanents. There was 1hr lunch break starting from 1pm-2pm and this are clearly indicated on both records. It was also noted from the records no overtime is worked. Regular working time is 48 hrs per week which is also stipulated on there working contracts.

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	C	OMPLIAN	CE
			Υ	N	N/A
WORK	KING HOURS & BREAKS				
11	CP: Do working hours and breaks documented in the time records comply with applicable legislation and/or collective barga	ining agreements?			
	CC: Documented working hours, breaks and rest days are in line with applicable legislation and/or collective bargaining agrindicate that regular weekly working hours do not exceed a maximum of 48 hours. During peak season (harvest), weekly we breaks/days are also guaranteed during peak season.				
11.1	Information on valid labor regulation and/or collective bargaining agreements regarding working hours and breaks is available (e.g. in the GRASP National Interpretation Guideline).		1	0	4
11.2	Working hours including overtime as shown in the records indicate compliance with legal regulations and/or collective bargaining agreements.		1	0	4
11.3	Rest breaks/days as shown in the records indicate compliance with national regulations and/or bargaining agreements.		1	0	4
11.4	If not regulated more strictly by applicable legislation, regular weekly working time does not exceed 48 hours. During peak season (harvest), weekly working time does not exceed 60 hours.		1	0	4
11.5	The records indicate that rest breaks/days are also guaranteed during peak season.		1	0	4
COMF	PLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT 11: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)		Fu	ılly complia	ant

Evidence/Remarks: The company has a time recording sheet for both the permanent and casuals where they record time in and time out and also thetime taken for breaks. This was eveident as they providede Time sheet record for casuals and a time record book for permanents. There was 1hr lunch break starting from 1pm-2pm and this are clearly indicated on both records. It was also noted from the records no overtime is worked. Regular working time is 48 hrs per week which is also stipulated on there working contracts.

# ONLY APPLICABLE FOR PRODUCER GROUPS

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA	VERIFICATION	COMPLIANCE			
			Y	N	N/A	
INTEGF	RATION INTO QMS					
QMS	CP: Does the assessment of the Quality Management System (QMS) of the producer group show evidence of the correct in members?	nplementation of GRASP for all pa	ırticipating	producer	group	
	CC: The assessment of the Quality Management System of the producer group demonstrates that GRASP is correctly implidentified and corrective actions are taken to enable compliance of all participating producer group members.	emented and internally assessed.	Non-comp	oliances a	re	
QMS1	The implementation of GRASP is included in the Quality Management System of the producer group, based on the respective part of the GLOBALG.A.P. General Regulations for Producer Group Certification.		Х			
QMS2	There is a system in place to regularly inform and train key staff on GRASP related issues.		Х			
QMS3	All steps taken in the frame of the QMS to implement GRASP among all participating producer group members are documented.				Х	
QMS4	There is evidence that the producer group fosters compliance of all participating producer group members with the GRASP requirements and assesses the progresses and problems complying with GRASP every year.				Х	
QMS5	A register is maintained of all GLOBALG.A.P. producers implementing GRASP. It contains for every producer group member the internal assessment date as well as the compliance level reached, all non-compliances detected in internal and external assessments and corrective actions given to non-compliances.				Х	
QMS6	There is a procedure to implement corrective actions from previous internal assessments.		Х			
QMS7	The internal producer group inspector is qualified according to the GRASP General Rules.		Х			
COMPLIANCE LEVEL CONTROL POINT QMS: (Calculated automatically based on the results per sub-controlpoint)  Fully compliant.  Not compliant.						
Evidence/Remarks: The group has an elaborate QMS manual. There is an up to date Farmer register for GG producers who will be included in the GRASP audit. The group inspector is qualified with a certificate of participation was available for ISO 9000:2000/Series/ Lead auditor training course.						
Corrective Actions:						

# RECOMMENDATIONS FOR GOOD PRACTICE

N°	CONTROL POINT & COMPLIANCE CRITERIA				
ADDIT	IONAL SOCIAL BENEFITS				
R1	What other forms of social benefit does the company offer to employees, their families and/or the community?  Please specify (incentives for good and safe working performance, bonus payment, support of professional development, social benefits, child care, improvement of social surroundings etc.).				
Evidence/Remarks: The workers during operations are given tea and bread during tea breaks. When workers are working at night the company provides supper paying of school fees to one of					

the underprivileged child.

# **ANNEX for GGN 4059883664379**

# **Producer Group Members:**

Product(s)	GLOBALG.A.P. Number (GGN)	Company/Producer Name and Address
Avocados	4059883666083	Ezrom Omanga, 1383, kisii, 40200, Kenya
Avocados	4059883666090	Naftal Juma, 1383, kisii, 40200, Kenya
Avocados	4059883666106	Shem Nyandika, 1383, kisii, 40200, Kenya
Avocados	4059883666113	Onchiri Omanga, 1383, kisii, 40200, Kenya
Avocados	4059883666137	Samwel Onsoti, 1383, kisii, 40200, Kenya
Avocados	4059883666144	Samuel Osiemo, 1383, kisii, 40200, Kenya
Avocados	4059883666175	Bernard Onchiri, 1383, kisii, 40200, Kenya
Avocados	4059883666182	Andrew Omanga, 1383, kisii, 40200, Kenya
Avocados	4059883666199	Jared Oyaro, 1383, kisii, 40200, Kenya
Avocados	4059883666205	Kepher Maageriah, 1383, kisii, 40200, Kenya
Avocados	4059883666236	Joshua Ogoncho, 1383, kisii, 40200, Kenya
Avocados	4059883666243	Geoffrey Moturi, 1383, kisii, 40200, Kenya
Avocados	4059883666380	Silas Onyancha, 1383, kisii, 40200, Kenya
Avocados	4059883666397	Maurice Mbengera, 1383, kisii, 40200, Kenya